State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency

le a they MAILING ADDRESS:

STREET ADDRESS:

1800 WaterMark Drive Columbus, OH 43215-1099

TELE: (614) 644-3020 FAX: (614) 644-2329

P.O. Box 1049 Columbus, OH 43216-1049

October 31, 1996

Ms. Joan Karnauskas, Chief Water & Applied Science Branch USEPA Region V (WT-16) 77 West Jackson Blvd. Chicago, Illinois 60604

Dear Joan:

I am pleased to submit the final version of the Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List for the State of Ohio - FFY 1997-98 (i.e. TMDL Priority List). Thank you for your review and comments on the draft list and report as provided in your October 15 letter. As you suggested, we have revised the list and report to correct the errors your staff found and to include a separate list of lakes, ponds, and reservoirs which are not expected to meet standards through use of water quality based controls. report also indicates why these waters were excluded from the TMDL priority assessment and how we intend to incorporate them in future TMDL lists.

We intend to public notice the 303(d) list and report beginning next week. If you have any questions concerning the attached list and report, please contact Randy Crowell of my staff at (614) 644-2887.

Sincerely,

Thomas P. Behlen, Chief

Division of Surface Water

George Elmerashy

wqm\303dcov.ltr

Christine Urban, USEPA Region V Jenny Tiell, Deputy Director George Elmaraghy, DSW Ava Hottman, DSW Seif Amragy, DSW Randy Crowell, DSW Larry Antosch, DSW Ed Rankin, DSW file

FILE

Clean Water Act - Section 303(d) List

State of Ohio - FFY 1997-98

October 31, 1996

Ohio Environmental Protection Agency Division of Surface Water

Introduction

This report was developed to fulfill the requirements set forth in Section 303(d) of the *Federal Clean Water Act (CWA)*. It contains the list of waterbodies in the State of Ohio which will require Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) development, called the "303(d) List" or the "TMDL Priority List". Table 1, at the end of this report, provides the prioritized list of Ohio streams which will require TMDL development. Lakes, ponds, and reservoirs could not be included in the priority ranking and are provided separately in Table 2. A description of the process used to develop the lists is provided below. The data used in this assessment was obtained from numerous government and public organizations. These sources are discussed in the section titled *Data Sources* at the end of this report.

Based on the TMDL Priority List, Ohio EPA has selected the Cuyahoga River for TMDL development in FFY '97-98. The rationale for this selection is provided below followed by the status of past TMDL projects. Additionally, numerous CWA Section 319 nonpoint source implementation projects (41 in progress, 14 proposed) will be conducted during the biennium.

Selection of Waterbody Segments for TMDL Assessment

Due to data and time constraints, it was decided to limit the scope of the priority assessment to Ohio's inland streams. Lakes, ponds, reservoirs, Lake Erie, and the Ohio River were excluded, but will be considered if impacted by a selected TMDL project area. Many lakes, ponds, and reservoirs have been assessed for attainment of use designations; however, our primary factor for prioritizing water bodies, the Area of Degradation Value (ADV), was developed for riverine systems. A comparable value has not yet been developed for lakes, ponds, and reservoirs which would allow them to be considered equitably with stream segments in determining TMDL priority. Instead, to satisfy the 303(d) listing requirements, the lakes, ponds, and reservoirs which were determined to be threatened or impaired (based on data assembled for the 1996 305(b) report) are listed separately in Table 2. Ohio EPA intends to develop compatible ADVs and incorporate these waters in the FFY 1999-2000 TMDL priority list. For Lake Erie and Ohio River, the biocriteria required to properly define use attainment have not been developed. When completed (an Ohio EPA priority over the next few years), we plan to develop comparable ADVs and include these waters as well.

Because the Ohio EPA staff who develop the TMDL list have been committed to work on the adoption of the Great Lakes Water Quality Guidance (GLWQG), further simplifications of the assessment were necessary. As much as possible, Ohio EPA relied on information assembled for the last TMDL list (FFY '95-96) with updates to account for changes in water quality use attainment status and scheduled water quality based effluent limits (WQBELs). Because the 1996 305(b) report has not been completed, use attainment updates were based on data and assessments completed in development of the report. Most of the assessment was complete, so significant changes are not expected; however, Area of Degradation Values (ADVs) were not completed for segments assessed since the 1994 305(b) report.

Until work began on the GLWQG, staff had been in the process of re-evaluating the TMDL priority list process. Our goal is to broaden the scope of the list and make it more useful to the Ohio EPA as a tool to direct and prioritize our activities. We were unable to complete this evaluation in time for this TMDL assessment, but plan to incorporate the changes in time for the

FFY 1999-2000 TMDL list. However, we were able to incorporate two new sources of priority information in this years list based on our evaluation thus far: CWA Section 319 nonpoint source (NPS) implementation projects and the identified NPS *Priority Watersheds for Restoration Activities*.

To begin, data from the last TMDL list was compared to data prepared for the 1996 305(b) assessment and updates were made to account for major changes in recently assessed stream segments. The database was then reduced to include only those water-quality limited segments which were found to be threatened or impaired. Fifteen (15) stream segments which now show attainment of their aquatic life use were removed from the TMDL dataset; and 187 segments, which received biological/chemical assessments since the last TMDL assessment, were added.

73 segments from the last TMDL, which were reassessed in 1996, were still indicated as threatened or impaired. For these segments, information regarding Area of Degradation Values (ADVs), fish consumption advisories, and Remedial Action Plan (RAP) areas was retained from the original TMDL dataset. This information was not likely to change significantly since the last assessment and ADVs were not available for the 1996 assessments. Newly added segments do not contain this information, but prioritizing is based on all segments within a waterbody group, so other segments within the group should compensate. The final database contained the following information:

- Waterbody ID# and name.
- Source and date of data used in the 305(b) assessment.
- Aquatic life use designation.
- Miles of segment which fully support, threaten, partially support, or don't support the aquatic life use.
- Area of Degradation Values (ADVs) reciprocal values of the ICI and IBI aquatic-life indices. (Higher values indicate greater degrees of impairment.)
- Causes and Sources of Impairment if known.
- Fish consumption advisory information.
- Is the segment in a Remedial Action Plan (RAP) area?

A final reduction in waterbody stream segments was then made by limiting the database to segments which met one or more of the following requirements:

- 1. The waterbody segment or a portion of the segment is designated as an Exceptional Warmwater Habitat (EWH).
- 2. The waterbody segment or a portion of the segment lies within a RAP area.
- 3. The waterbody segment or a portion of the segment has a full or partial fish consumption advisory in effect.
- 4. The 305(b) evaluation of the waterbody segment (e.g. to determine the level of impairment) was based on <u>recent on-site</u> chemical and/or biological data (i.e. collected in 1990 or later and sampled within the segment).

After this final reduction, 601 waterbody segments remained in the database. Requirements 1 through 3 were included to assure that segments within these important areas are evaluated for TMDL development. Because the remaining waterbody segments (i.e. those not required by items 1 through 3) are included based solely on the 305(b) assessment, item 4 was added to assure the accuracy of that evaluation.

No modifications were made to account for NPDES permit changes and other abatement measures made during FFY '95-96, as is permitted under the Section 303(d). It is Ohio EPA's opinion that waterbodies should remain on the TMDL list until the 305(b) or another assessment indicates through physical evidence that impairment has been abated.

Prioritizing of Watersheds

To focus future TMDL development on watershed areas instead of individual waterbody segments, the database was divided into hydrologic groups using the group number within the waterbody ID (e.g. for ID = "OH 2 20" the hydrologic group number is 2). The hydrologic groups are good representations of watersheds because they break up the larger streams into reasonably sized areas while maintaining the natural drainage areas. For example, a hydrologic group might contain only the first 3 segments of the mainstem of a large stream but would also include the entire drainage area of all side tributaries to those segments. To assure that a watershed is properly represented, if a hydrologic group selected for TMDL development represents only a portion of a stream, consideration will be given to including adjacent groups.

A total of 93 hydrologic groups exist within Ohio; however, only 84 are represented in the selected waterbody segments (i.e. the other groups did not contain segments which met the requirements to be included in the TMDL list). To provide data for comparison, the following statistics were computed for each of the 84 hydrologic groups:

- Maximum ADV The highest of the Area of Degradation Values for any of the stream segments in the group.
- Number of stream segments within the group:
 - with a full fish consumption advisory in effect
 - with a partial fish consumption advisory in effect
 - within a RAP area.
- Number and percent of stream miles in the group which:
 - do not support the designated aquatic life use
 - partially support the designated aquatic life use
 - threaten the future attainment of the designated aquatic life use.

To account for Exceptional Warmwater Habitats, the ADV scores of all of the EWH segments were doubled prior to computing the hydrologic group statistics to give greater emphasis to the more highly impaired EWH segments.

After hydrologic group statistics were compiled, the following information was determined for each of the 84 hydrologic groups and added to the database:

WQBELs

The number of major dischargers in the hydrologic group with NPDES discharge permits which will expire in FFY '98-99. WQBELs will need to be planned and developed for these dischargers within the TMDL list period (FFY '97-98). Including this information assures that segments for which Ohio EPA has already committed to point-source load evaluation are considered.

319 projects

The number of CWA Section 319 nonpoint source implementation projects in the hydrologic group which are currently in progress or are proposed to begin within the TMDL list period. Including this information assures that segments for which the State of Ohio has committed CWA Section 319 funds to address nonpoint source related water quality problems are considered.

PWRAs

Priority Watersheds for Restoration Activities - One of the objectives of Ohio EPA's Clean Water Act Section 319 grant for federal fiscal year 1993 was to develop a process to prioritize watersheds for future nonpoint source (NPS) project development. As a result of this process, 38 watersheds of 100-150 square miles in size were identified as priority areas for nonpoint source implementation activities being conducted with CWA Section 319 as well as other federal, state and local funding. Including this information assures that segments in watersheds for which the State of Ohio has identified to focus NPS implementation activities are considered.

Initially the hydrologic groups were ranked by the maximum ADV value, with higher ADVs indicating greater priority, because the ADV represents the actual level of stream impairment. The number and percent of stream miles not supporting or partially supporting the aquatic life use were found to similarly indicate problem areas, but gave little indication of the "degree" of impairment. Priority factors were assigned to each hydrologic group based on its ADV percentile ranking as indicated in the following table. For example, groups with ADVs above the 90th percentile (i.e. top 10%) received a priority factor of 9.

Percentile Group	ADV Range	Priority Factor
90-100	> 1750	9
80-90	1190 - 1750	8
70-80	850 - 1190	7
60-70	680 - 850	6
50-60	420 - 680	5
40-50	250 - 420	4
30-40	145 - 250	3
20-30	37 - 145	2
0-20	0 - 37	0

The priority factors for each of the 84 hydrologic groups were increased to reflect other priority information using the following criteria:

Information	Criteria	Priority Factor Increment
# of WQBELs	more than 5	3
	3 to 5	2
	1 to 2	1
CWA Section 319	1 or more current	2
Projects	1 or more proposed	11
Priority Watershed for Restoration Activity	1 or more PWRA in the waterbody group	1
Fish Consumption	Full advisory	2
Advisory	Partial advisory	1
Remedial Action Plan (RAP) Area	1 or more segments in RAP area	1

After this final adjustment, the 84 hydrologic groups were re-ranked based on the priority factor with the groups having the highest factor receiving the highest ranking. Consideration was given to making priority adjustments based on the number or percent of threatened stream miles to give greater priority to protection of high quality streams; however, this directly opposes impairment based prioritizing. To include this type of prioritizing would require a more subjective and detailed analysis than was possible within the scope of this assessment, but it may be considered in future TMDL priority assessments.

Selection of Watershed for FFY '97-98 TMDL Development

A complete listing of the waterbody stream segments was generated in hydrologic group priority order to allow for the final examination of the individual segments prior to selecting areas for TMDL development in FFY '97-98. This list is provided as Table 1 at the end of this report and constitutes Ohio EPA's "FFY '97-98 TMDL Priority List". In combination with Table 2, *Ohio Threatened and Non-Attaining Lakes, Ponds, and Reservoirs*, this also provides a list of all Ohio waters known to require TMDL development.

Based on this list, Ohio EPA has decided to target the Cuyahoga River for TMDL development in FFY '97-98. The Lower Cuyahoga (hydrologic group 89) had the highest ranking of all the hydrologic groups. It received the highest ADV score in the state, requires the development of WQBELs for 10 discharges in FFY '97-98, has a Remedial Action Plan in progress and has one CWA Section 319 nonpoint source implementation project in progress. The Upper Cuyahoga River will also be included to allow a complete assessment of the Cuyahoga River basin. It was ranked 22nd among the 84 ranked hydrologic groups, requires WQBELs for 3 dischargers and contains a *Priority Watershed for Restoration Activities*.

Additionally, across Ohio, 55 nonpoint source implementation projects (41 in progress, 14

proposed) will be conducted during FFY '97-98. These projects, funded by U.S. EPA grants under CWA Section 319, address water resource quality in areas of the state where nonpoint source pollution has been identified as the principal cause of impairment.

Status of FFY '95-96 TMDL Projects

The Lower Mahoning River was selected for TMDL development in FFY '95-96. Because many dischargers to the Lower Mahoning were in violation of their existing permits for several heavy metals, Ohio EPA initially conducted a phase 1 TMDL to account for point source pollutants before investigating nonpoint sources. Ohio EPA employed a probabilistic model (Monte Carlo method) to account for the 14 interacting dischargers. It was suspected that past WQBELs, which applied conventional critical condition modeling, had resulted in overly restrictive permit limits and possibly false indications of criteria violation. (The conventional methods assume that all facilities will simultaneously discharge at their maximum permit limits during critical stream flow conditions, the probability of which decreases significantly as the number of discharges increases.) The probabilistic modeling results allowed an increase in WQBELs for some of the metals without an exceedence of water quality criteria, potentially allowing some dischargers to come into compliance. However, the modeling exercise also indicated that background concentrations for several of the metals had the potential to exceed the water quality criteria. Both of these results indicate that unknown or nonpoint sources for these metals or other pollutants may be the cause of the Mahoning River's aquatic life use-attainment problems. Investigations are currently under way to identify these causes.

Status of FFY '93-94 TMDL Projects

Two special TMDL projects were sited for development in FFY '93-94, Black River and Bokes Creek. The TMDL assessment for Bokes Creek has been completed and the assessment for Black River will be completed this year. The Bokes Creek TMDL served as the basis for the development of a Watershed Management Plan to address excess in-stream nitrate nitrogen concentrations in Bokes Creek.

Data Sources

The 305(b) assessment, the primary source of data for the TMDL priority list, was based on all readily available and accurate data reflecting the biological, habitat, and chemical quality of Ohio's surface waters. Biological data used in the 305(b) assessment was collected by Ohio EPA, Ohio DNR - Division of Natural Areas and Preserves, Ohio DNR - Division of Wildlife, the Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT), and the Ohio State University Museum of Zoology (OSUMZ). Biological data extends from the late 1970s to the present and is comprised of over 15,000 samples. The inclusion of such a large biological database and the inclusion of physical habitat data makes the Ohio database one of the most extensive in the nation and senstive to a wide variety of chemical and non-chemcial impacts (e.g., siltation, habitat degradation). Data from several volunteer sampling programs were also used for screening purposes. Other types of data used in the 305(b) assessment (e.g. chemical, sediment, habitat, land use, toxicity test results) were obtained from many different organizations, including Ohio EPA, Ohio DNR, USGS, and ODOT, as well as from dischargers. Most of the assessments are a product of our intensive watershed survey efforts where we put a high priority on identifying the causes and sources of any observed impairment.

Priority Watersheds for Restoration Activities were selected by a process developed by an interagency work group which included members from USDA-SCS (now NRCS), USDA-ASCS (now FSA), USGS, ODNR-Water, ODNR-Soil and Water Conservation and Ohio EPA-Surface Water. CWA Section 319 project areas are evaluated by the Ohio Nonpoint Source Project Selection Committee and approved by USEPA Region V. The committee includes representatives from the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (Ohio EPA), the Ohio Departments of Agriculture, Health and Natural Resources, the Ohio State University Extension and the USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service. RAP areas were initially selected by the International Joint Commission (an organization of representatives from states and Canadian provinces bordering the Great Lakes), but were further defined by local RAP organizations which include state and local agencies as well as public representatives. Fish Advisory Areas were established by Ohio Department of Health and local health agencies.

Table 1 - Ohio TMDL Priority List - Key

Waterbody segments are listed in groups based on the hydrologic group number which is the first number within the waterbody ID (e.g. for ID = OH 2 12, the group number is 2). The hydrologic groups are arranged in order from highest to lowest priority for TMDL development in FFY '97-98. Within the hydrologic groups, the stream segments are arranged in order from the highest to lowest ADV value.

Information included with Basin & Waterbody Group:

Priority:

Priority ranking of waterbody groups based on assessment.

of WQBEL's:

Number of facilities in the waterbody group which will require water quality

based effluent limit development in FFY '97-98.

319 Projects:

Number of current and proposed CWA Section 319 projects which will be

underway during FFY '97-98.

PWRA:

Waterbody group contains one or more segments within areas selected as

Priority Watershed for Restoration Activities.

Selection Criteria (reason for including segment in the TMDL list):

F = Fish consumption advisory currently in effect

R = Remedial Action Plan (RAP) area E = Exceptional Warmwater Habitat

M = 305(b) assessment based on recent, on-site data

Aquatic Life Use Type:

WWH = warmwater habitat

LWH = limited warmwater habitat MWH = modified warmwater habitat

CWH = coldwater habitat

EWH = exceptional warmwater habitat

ADV Scores -

A reciprocal value of the ICI and IBI aquatic life indices. Higher values

indicate greater degrees of aquatic life use impairment.

% Stream Miles Affected -

Percent of the total stream miles in the segment which

threaten, partially support, or do not support the designated

aquatic life use.

Causes of Impairment:

00		4.0	1 / mpr pm, m, / / 1 1 1 1
00	cause unknown	13	salinity / TDS / chloride
01	unknown toxicity	14	thermal modification
02	pesticides	15	flow alteration
03	priority organics	16	habitat alteration
04	non priority organics	17	pathogen indicators
05	metals	18	radiation
06	ammonia	19	oil and grease
07	chlorine	20	taste and odor
80	other inorganics	21	suspended solids
09	nutrients	22	noxious aquatic plants
10	рН	23	filling and draining
11	siltation	24	total toxics
12	organic enrichment / D.O		

Significance of Causes:

(M) = moderate (T) = threatened

$$(S) = small$$

Table 1 - Ohio TMDL Priority List for FFY 1997-98

Basin & Wa	terbody Group	Selection	Aquatic	ADV S	cores	% Stream	n Miles A	ffected:	Causes of
Waterbody	Segment # & Name	Criteria	Life Use	IBI	ICI	Threat	Partial	NotSuppor	t Impairment
CUYAHOGA -	Lower Cuyahoga River - Priority: 1 # of WQBEI	Ls = 10 3	19 Project	s: 1 cu	rrent				
OH89 8	TINKERS CREEK (POND BROOK TO CUYAHOGA RIVER)	MR	WWH	3312	405	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 19H 09S 11S 16S 00S 09S 21S
OH89 14	CUYAHOGA RIVER (YELLOW CREEK TO BRANDYWINE CREE	K) MRF	WWH	1587	27	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 01S 11S 03S
OH89 6	CUYAHOGA RIVER (TINKERS CREEK TO BIG CREEK)	MRF	WWH	1452	5	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 07H 11S 03S 01S
OH89 9	TINKERS CREEK (HEADWATERS TO POND BROOK)	MR	WWH	602	0	0.00	10.31	89.69	00M 26M 05S 12S 09S
OH89 1	CUYAHOGA RIVER (SHIP CHANNEL TO LAKE ERIE)	MRF	WWH	291	23	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 16M 19M 15S 03M 01S
OH89 1	CUYAHOGA RIVER (BIG CREEK TO SHIP CHANNEL)	MRF	WWH	291	23	0.00	0.00	100.0	16H 12H 05M 08M 06M 03S 19S 11S
OH89 8.2	DEER LICK RUN	R	NONE	264	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	05H 06M 12M
OH89 27	CUYAHOGA RIVER (LITTLE CUYAHOGA R. TO YELLOW CR) MRF	WWH	186	16	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 03S 01S
OH89 30	POWERS BROOK	R	WWH	179	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 06M
он89 9.1	STREETSBORO TRIB. TO TINKERS CREEK	R	NONE	165	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H
онвэ 8.3	BEAVER MEADOW CREEK	MR	NONE	144	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H
OH89 29	MUD BROOK	R	HWW	132	0	Ö.00	0.00	100.0	12H 15M 16M 05S 06S
OH89 5.1	FORD BRANCH BIG CREEK	R	NONE	125	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H
)H89 5	BIG CREEK	MR	WWH	96	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 19S 00S
OH89 8.1	WOOD CREEK	MR	NONE	88	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	00H
OH8 9 7	MILL CREEK	MR	LWH	84	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 06H
OH89 10	POND BROOK	MR	WWH	72	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	16H 26M 12M
OH89 12	CHIPPEWA CREEK	R	WWH	66	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	06H
ОН89 13	BRANDYWINE CREEK	MR	HWW	40	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 00S 11S
OH89 2	KINGSBURY RUN	R	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	05H 03H
DH89 11	CUYAHOGA RIVER (BRANDYWINE CREEK TO TINKERS CRE	EK) MRF	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 11S 01S
OH89 25	YELLOW CREEK	MR	HWW	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	12T
OH89 12.1	TRIB. TO CHIPPEWA CREEK (RM 6.36)	М	NONE	0	0	63.16	0.00	36.84	15H
MAUMEE - L	ower Maumee R./Swan Cr & Tenmile Cr - Priority:	2 # of WQ	BELs = 3	319 F	rojects	: 1 currer	nt	PWRA	
OH75 1	MAUMEE RIVER (WATERVILLE TO SWAN CREEK)	R	WWH	633	1376	0.00	8.41	89.00	12H 11S 03H 05S
ОН75 16	OTTAWA RIVER	MF	WWH	791	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	03H 12M 16M 01M 02S 05S 11M

Table 1 - Ohio TMDL Priority List for FFY 1997-98

Basin & Waterbody Group		Selection	Aquatic	ADV Scores		% Stream	m Miles A	ffected:	Causes of
Waterbody	Segment # & Name	Criteria	Life Use	IBI	ICI	Threat	Partial	NotSuppo	ort Impairment
MAUMEE - L	ower Maumee R./Swan Cr & Tenmile Cr - Priority:	2 # of WQ	BELs = 3	319 P	rojects	: 1 curre	nt	PWRA	
DH75 18	TENMILE CREEK	М	WWH	110	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 16H 11M
DH75 9	SWAN CREEK (AI CREEK TO BLUE CREEK)	M	WWH	26	0	0.00	53.57	46.43	11H
DH75 12	SWAN CREEK (HEADWATERS TO AI CREEK)	M	WWH	2	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	11H
OH75 3	SWAN CREEK (BLUE CREEK TO MAUMEE RIVER)	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	34.73	65.27	03M 05H 12M 19H 11M
)H75 16.4	HILL DITCH	M	NONE	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	15H 16H
OH75 16.2	HELDMAN DITCH	M	NONE	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	15H 16H
OH75 17	SIBLEY CREEK	M	HWW	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	01H 15H
)H75 19	NORTH BRANCH TENMILE CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	15H
DH75 4	WOLF CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	24H
DH75 25	SHANTEE CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	03H 16H
)H75 26.1	KETCHAM DITCH	M	NONE	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	16H
H75 25.1	TIFFT DITCH	M	NONE	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	16H
H75 14	GRASSY CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	42.00	16H
H75 16.1	HILL DITCH	M	LRW	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	15H
)H75 26	SILVER CREEK	М	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	03H 16H
)H75 3.1	HEILMAN DITCH	M	NONE	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	16H
)H75 2	DUCK CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	16H
)H75 6	BLUE CREEK	M	wwH	0	0	41.18	0.00	58.82	16H 16T
ЭН75 16.3	HAEFNER DITCH	M	NONE	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	16H
BLACK - Bl	ack River - Priority: 3 # of WQBELs = 5	PWRA		,			-9	, 11 1811	
DH86 13	WEST BRANCH BLACK R. (ELK CR. TO E. BR. BLACK R	.) MR	WWH	1443	252	0.00	0.00	100.0	11H 12S 09M
)H86 7	EAST BRANCH BLACK R. (W. FK. E. BR. TO CROW CR.)	MR	WWH	991	0	0.00	51.64	48.36	09M 11H
)H86 14	PLUM CREEK	MR	WWH	824	0	0.00	0.00	89.69	11H 09M 11H
H86 17	WEST BRANCH BLACK R. (CHARLEMONT CR. TO ELK CR.)	MR	WWH	760	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	11H 09H
Н86 16	WELLINGTON CREEK	MR	HWW	408	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	09M 11H 09M
)H86 2	BLACK RIVER	MRF	WWH	260	7	0.00	77.56	3.21	09M 16M 03H
)H86 4	EAST BRANCH (HILL SPAULDING DITCH TO W BR BLACK	R) MR	WWH	220	0	41.67	20.83	8.33	09M 12H 11T 16T
ЭН86 4.1	JACKSON DITCH	MR	NONE	198	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	11H 00H 11H 00H

Table 1 - Ohio TMDL Priority List for FFY 1997-98

eam Miles	% Stream	Miles Affected	: Causes of
t Partial	Threat	Partial NotSup	port Impairment
0.00	0.00	0.00 75.00	01H
00 100.00	0.00	100.00 0.00	11H 09M
50.00	0.00	50.00 0.00	11H 09M 11H
13 0.00	48.43	0.00 51.57	09Н 11Н 11Т
0.00	0.00	0.00 100.0	11H
00 100.00	0.00	100.00 0.00	00H
0.00	0.00	0.00 100.0	11H
00 100.00	0.00	100.00 0.00	11H
0.00	0.00	0.00 100.0	00H
0.00	0.00	0.00 100.0	11H
00 100.00	0.00	100.00 0.00	11H
	rent		
72.50	0.00	72.50 27.50	12H 14M
94.06	0.00	94.06 4.24	15H 15M 12H 16M 00M
50.00	0.00	50.00 19.05	03M 12H 16M 05M
94.90	0.00	94.90 5.10	14M 12M 15M 05S 06S
00 84.78	0.00	84.78 15.22	05H 12H 00M 16M
00 48.54	0.00	48.54 42.72	12H 11M 08M
0.00	0.00	0.00 70.21	12H
0.00	0,00	0.00 50.00	15H
0.00	0.00	0.00 100.0	00H
75.00	0.00	75.00 0.00	12M 15M 16M
0.00	0.00	0.00 100.0	06H 01H 12H 05H
00 29.63	0.00	29.63 54.63	12Н 05Н 01Н 09Н 06Н 07М 19М 16М
0.00	0.00	0.00 100.0	06H 16H 12H
0.00	0.00	0.00 100.0	22H 11M
) (0.00)	0.00 100.0

Table 1 - Ohio TMDL Priority List for FFY 1997-98

Basin & W	Waterbody Group	Selection	Aquatic	ADV S	cores	% Stream	m Miles A	ffected:	Causes of
Waterbody	Segment # & Name	Criteria	Life Use	IBI	ICI	Threat	Partial	NotSuppo	ort Impairment
MAUMEE -	Ottawa River - Priority: 5 # of WQBELs = 5 3	19 Projects	: 1 curren	t					
OH68 20	HOG CREEK	M	MMH	55	0	0.00	39.44	60.56	16H 12H
онев 8	OTTAWA RIVER (HONEY RUN TO SUGAR CREEK)	M	HWW	44	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	01H 12M
OH68 18	LOST CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	22H 15M 09M
REAT MIA	MI - Stillwater River - Priority: 6 # of WQBELs	= 0 319	Projects:	2 curr	ent 1	proposed		2	
OH57 26	GREENVILLE CREEK (WEST BR. TO DIVIDING BR.)	ME	EWH	888	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	12M 15M 16M 11S
OH57 21	GREENVILLE CREEK (DIVIDING BR. TO STILLWATER R.)	ME	EWH	595	36	1.97	48.03	50.00	12H 16M 12T
OH57 37	STILLWATER RIVER (SWAMP CREEK TO GREENVILLE CREE	EK) ME	EWH	60	0	0.00	59.26	0.00	12H
OH57 1	STILLWATER RIVER (BRUSH CREEK TO GREAT MIAMI R.)	ME	EWH	22	0	0.00	74.65	0.00	12H 16M
OH57 42	INDIAN CREEK	М	WWH	44	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	16H
DH57 29	PRAIRIE OUTLET	М	WWH	36	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	09H 12H
)H57 28	MUD CREEK	M	WWH	5	0	0.00	2.50	0.00	16H 11H
)H57 18	PAINTER CREEK	M	MWH-C	2	0	0.00	1.01	38.73	06H 12H
)H57 14	STILLWATER RIVER (GREENVILLE CR. TO LUDLOW CR.)	ME	EWH	0	0	0.00	24.56	0.00	16H 00H
)H57 32	GREENVILLE CREEK (HEADWATERS TO WEST BRANCH)	ME	EWH	0	0	0.00	41.96	0.00	12M
H57 41	SWAMP CREEK	М	MWH-C	0	0	0.00	11.59	35.51	16H 12H 09H
)H57 45	STILLWATER RIVER (HEADWATERS TO NORTH FORK)	М	HWW	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	12H
)H57 3	MILL CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	13.64	0.00	86.36	06H 12H 06T
LITTLE MI	AMI - Upper Little Miami River - Priority: 7 #	of WQBELs =	0 319	Project	s: 1 cu	rrent	PWRA		
OH50 23	LITTLE MIAMI RIVER (HEADWATERS TO NORTH FORK)	E	EWH	1525	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 16M
)H50 1	LITTLE MIAMI RIVER (GLADY RUN TO CAESAR CREEK)	E	EWH	1500	586	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 06H 01H
DH50 4	LITTLE MIAMI RIVER (BEAVER CREEK TO GLADY RUN)	E	EWH	428	734	0.00	8.89	91.11	12H 06H
OH50 10	LITTLE MIAMI RIVER (MASSIES CREEK TO BEAVER CREE	EK) E	EWH	0	44	0.00	16.18	83.82	12H
OH50 21	NORTH FORK LITTLE MIAMI RIVER	М	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	12.50	11S 12H 16H
)H50 5.1	GLADY RUN SWALE	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	50.00	0.00	16H 09H
OH50 16	SOUTH FORK MASSIES CREEK	М	WWH	0	0	33.33	66.67	0.00	16H 16T
OH50 2	NEWMAN RUN	М	WWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	15H
OH50 5	GLADY RUN	М	WWH	0	0	0.00	10.20	89.80	15Н 07Н 09Н
)H50 8	BEAVER CREEK	М	WWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	16Н 09Н 06Н

Table 1 - Ohio TMDL Priority List for FFY 1997-98

Basin & W	aterbody Group	Selection	Aquatic	ADV S	cores	% Stream	m Miles A	ffected:	Causes of
Waterbody	Segment # & Name	Criteria	Life Use	IBI	ICI	Threat	Partial	NotSuppo	ort Impairment
LITTLE MI	AMI - Upper Little Miami River - Priority: 7 #	of WQBELs :	= 0 319	Project	s: 1 cu	rrent	PWRA		
ОН50 9	LITTLE BEAVER CREEK	M	HWW	0	0	0.00	2.13	97.87	06H 05H 16H 01H 00H
ОН50 17	LITTLE MIAMI RIVER (NORTH FORK TO MASSIES CREEK) ME	EWH	0	0	48.76	51.24	0.00	12Н 09Н 05Н 17Н 16Н 09Т
MAHONING -	- Lower Mahoning River - Priority: 8 # of WQBEL	s = 0 3:	19 Projects	: 1 cur	rent				
OH 2 20	MAHONING RIVER (MILL CREEK TO MEANDER CREEK)	F	WWH	1690	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	05H 12H 06M 08M
OH 2 35	MAHONING RIVER (MEANDER CREEK TO DUCK CREEK)	MF	WWH	900	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	05H 06M 03M 12H 08H 19M
OH 2 7	MAHONING RIVER (YELLOW CREEK TO MILL CREEK)	MF	WWH	136	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	05H 06M 08H 12H
OH 2 1	MAHONING RIVER (PA. TO YELLOW CREEK)	MF	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	05H 06M 08H 12H
OH 2 9	DRY RUN	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	00H
OH 2 5	YELLOW CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	
OH 2 12	MILL CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	09Н 06Н 12Н 11Н
OH 2 13	BEARS DEN RUN	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	05H
OH 2 14	AX FACTORY RUN	М	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	05H
OH 2 15	ANDERSONS RUN	М	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 05H
OH 2 17	INDIAN RUN	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 05H
OH 2 23	MEANDER CREEK	М	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	05Н 06Н 09Н 12Н 16Н 21Н
OH 2 27	MOSQUITO CREEK (MOSQUITO CR. RES. TO MAHONING R	.) M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	21H
GREAT MIA	MI - Lower Gr Miami R/Mill Cr - Priority: 9 # o	f WQBELs =	4	PWRA					
ОН62 13	GREAT MIAMI RIVER (RM 26.6 TO TAYLOR CREEK)	MF	WWH	683	0	0.00	74.87	20.83	12H
OH62 26	WEST FORK MILL CREEK (UPSTREAM)	М	WWH	675	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	06H 12H
OH62 1	GREAT MIAMI RIVER (TAYLOR CREEK TO OHIO RIVER)	MF	WWH	672	0	0.00	55.33	44.67	12H 05S
OH62 23	MILL CREEK (WEST FORK MILL CREEK TO OHIO RIVER)	MF	LWH	463	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12Н 20Н 19Н 16Н 06Н 01Н
OH62 21	MUDDY CREEK	M	WWH	444	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 06H 16M 15M
OH62 24	WEST FORK MILL CREEK (DOWNSTREAM)	M	LWH	312	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 15H 16M 01H 11M
OH62 5.1	KIATA CREEK	M	WWH	153	0	0.00	0.00	38.46	16H
OH62 12.2	1 STEELE CREEK	M	WWH	128	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H
ОН62 12.2	BRIARLY CREEK	M	WWH	124	0	16.67	26.67	56.67	12H 16T
OH62 31	EAST FORK MILL CREEK	M	WWH	98	0	0.00	0.00	26.76	06H 12H 02S
OH62 12	TAYLOR CREEK	М	WWH	67	0	88.89	11.11	0.00	12H 16T

Table 1 - Ohio TMDL Priority List for FFY 1997-98

Basin & Wa	aterbody Group	Selection	Aquatic	ADV S	cores	% Stream	m Miles A	ffected:	Causes of
Waterbody	Segment # & Name	Criteria	Life Use	IBI	ICI	Threat	Partial	NotSuppo	ort Impairment
FREAT MIAM	II - Lower Gr Miami R/Mill Cr - Priority: 9 # 0	of WQBELs =	4	PWRA					
OH62 22	RAPID RUN	M	WWH	66	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12M 16H 15H
OH62 22.1	WULFF RUN	M	NONE	66	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 16H 15H
OH62 28	SHARON CREEK	M	HWW	64	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	00H
OH62 12.1	WESSELMAN CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	16T
OH62 15	BLUEROCK CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	26.67	12H 16T
OH62 23.2	BLOODY RUN	M	LRW	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	03M 12H 02M
OH62 24.1	TRIB TO WEST FK	М	NONE	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 20H 21H 15H 09H 01H 11M
OH62 27	MILL CREEK (SHARON CREEK TO WEST FORK MILL CREE	EK) MF	LWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 20H 16S 06H
OH62 30	MILL CREEK (HEADWATERS TO SHARON CREEK)	MF	HWW	0	0	0.00	0.00	71.65	12H 16M
0H77 5 0H77 9 0H77 6 0H77 10	MIDDLE BR. PORTAGE R. (HEADWATERS TO ROCKY FORD) SOUTH BRANCH (HEADWATERS TO E. BR. PORTAGE R.) NEEDLES CREEK NORTH BRANCH PORTAGE RIVER BULL CREEK	M M M M	WWH WWH WWH WWH	0 0 0	0 0	0.00 0.00 100.00 7.75 0.00	55.61 100.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 35.27	16H 12H 15H 16T 16H 09T
OH77 3 OH77 4	ROCKY FORD	М	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	58.44	12H
MAUMEE - I	Fiffin River - Priority: 11 # of WQBELs = 2	319 Project	s: 1 curre	nt 1 p	proposed	PWR	A		
DH72 29	MILL CREEK	M	HWW	817	0	0.00	50.00	50.00	01H 11M 16S
DH72 16	TIFFIN RIVER (LEATHERWOOD CREEK TO LICK CREEK)	M	HWW	8	0	0.00	98.37	1.63	11H 16H
OH72 1	TIFFIN RIVER (LICK CREEK TO MAUMEE RIVER)	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	11H 16H 15S
OH72 37	OLD BEAN CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	16H 11M
MAUMEE - U	Typer Auglaize River - Priority: 12 # of WQBEL	s = 3							
OH70 12	AUGLAIZE RIVER (SIXMILE CREEK TO JENNINGS CREEK	C) ME	EWH	1130	410	0.00	100.00	0.00	11M 12M
OH70 7	JENNINGS CREEK	М	WWH	259	1258	0.00	22.76	77.24	12H 01S 11S
OH70 13	AUGLAIZE RIVER (TWOMILE CREEK TO SIXMILE CREEK)	ME	EWH	7	165	0.00	17.17	0.00	11H

Table 1 - Ohio TMDL Priority List for FFY 1997-98

Basin & Wat	erbody Group	Selection	Aquatic	ADV S	cores	% Strea	m Miles A	ffected:	Causes of
Waterbody S	egment # & Name	Criteria	Life Use	IBI	ICĪ	Threat	Partial	NotSuppo	ort Impairment
MAUMEE - Up	per Auglaize River - Priority: 12 # of WQBELs	= 3							
ОН70 14	BIXMILE CREEK	М	WWH	310	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 05H 06M 00M
OH70 8 E	FLAT FORK	М	WWH	280	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 16H
OH70 5 A	AUGLAIZE RIVER (JENNINGS CREEK TO OTTAWA RIVER)	ME	EWH	121	0	50.15	49.85	0.00	11M 11T
OH70 20 I	AUGLAIZE RIVER (BLACKHOOF CREEK TO PUSHETA CREE	K) M	WWH	7	195	0.00	30.23	37.21	16M 12M 06S
он70 з г	AUGLAIZE RIVER (OTTAWA RIVER TO BLANCHARD RIVER) ME	EWH	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	11T
OH70 16.3 S	SHEARER DITCH	M		0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	0 1 H
OH70 18 I	PUSHETA CREEK	М	HWW	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	16T
SCIOTO - Lor	wer Paint Creek - Priority: 13 # of WQBELs =	1 319 Pr	rojects: 1	propose	ed				
OH43 49	CLEAR CREEK	E	EWH	406	1391	0.00	22.69	29.41	12H 05M
OH43 51 F	ROCKY FORK (HEADWATERS TO ROCKY FORK LAKE)	E	EWH	231	366	0.00	38.27	38.27	16H
OH43 1 I	PAINT CREEK (N. FK. PAINT CREEK TO SCIOTO RIVER) M	HWW	11	0	0.00	30.86	0.00	12H
SCIOTO - De	er Creek/Middle Scioto R Priority: 14 # of	WQBELs = 8	319 Pr	ojects:	1 prop	oosed			
OH41 1 S	SCIOTO RIVER (KINNIKINNICK CREEK TO PAINT CREEK) MF	WWH	339	44	41.93	56.53	1.54	16H 12H 06H 16T 11T
OH41 6 S	SCIOTO RIVER (SCIPPO CREEK TO KINNIKINNICK CREE	K) MF	WWH	199	0	27.71	72.29	0.00	16H 16T 11T
OH41 33 S	SCIOTO RIVER (BIG DARBY CREEK TO SCIPPO CREEK)	MF	HWW	114	2	54.46	44.64	0.89	16H 16T 11T
OH41 6.1 F	BLACKWATER CREEK	M	WWH	66	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	22H 15M 12S
OH41 39 I	HARGUS CREEK	M	WWH	48	0	41.30	58.70	0.00	12M 12M 16S 16T
OH41 34 S	SCIPPO CREEK	MF	WWH	38	0	57.14	36.57	6.29	11H 02H 01H 11T
OH41 30.1 (CHILDRENS HOME DITCH	M	NONE	0	0	82.76	17.24	0.00	12H 12T
OH41 40 I	HOMINY CREEK	М	WWH	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	16T
OH41 34.2 7	TRIB. TO SCIPPO CREEK (RM 18.87)	М	LRW	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	06H 12H
OH41 35 (CONGO CREEK	ME	EWH	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	16T
OH41 38 I	LICK RUN	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	50.00	11H 16H
OHIO TRIBS	- S.E Upper Raccoon Creek - Priority: 15 #	of WQBELs	= 0 319	Projec	ts: 1 c	urrent	PWRA		
ОНЗО 25	etrongs run	ME	EWH	590	264	0.00	0.00	100.0	10H 08M 05M 11S 12H 13M
OH30 7 I	LITTLE RACCOON CREEK (SAND RUN TO DICKASON RUN)	М	WWH	220	72	0.00	39.53	60.47	12H 11M 06S
OH30 23 I	ROBINSON RUN	М	HWW	160	0	0.00	0.00	82.35	16H 08M 13M 05S

Table 1 - Ohio TMDL Priority List for FFY 1997-98

Basin & Waterbody Group Waterbody Segment # & Name		Selection	Aquatic	ADV Scores		% Strea	m Miles A	ffected:	Causes of
		Criteria	Life Use	IBI	ICI	Threat	Partial	NotSuppo	rt Impairment
OHIO TRIB	S - S.E Upper Raccoon Creek - Priority: 15	# of WQBELs	= 0 319	Projec	ts: 1 c	urrent	PWRA	1	
OH30 22	RACCOON CREEK (FLATLICK RUN TO LITTLE RACCOON	CR.) M	WWH	58	66	0.00	100.00	0.00	10H 11M 00S
OH30 24	SUGAR RUN	М	WWH	55	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	16H 08M 13M 05S
OH3 0 5	DICKASON RUN	M	LWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	10H
OH30 15	MULGA RUN	M	LRW .	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	10H
OH30 16	MEADOW RUN	MF	LRW	0	0	34.38	0.00	65.63	12H 06M 10M 05S 10T 05
OH30 28	RACCOON CREEK (ELK FORK TO FLATLICK RUN)	M	WWH	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	11T
онзо 34	ZINNS RUN	M	LWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	15H
OH30 59	BRUSHY FORK	E	EWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	10H
DH30 60	RACCOON CREEK (EAST/WEST BRANCH TO BRUSHY FORK) M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	10H
OH30 2	DEER CREEK	M	HWW	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	11H
)H30 8	TARCAMP RUN	M	LWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	11H
)H30 26	WILLIAMS RUN	ME	EWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	10H
онзо 17.1	SUGAR RUN	M	NONE	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	09H 11H 16H 05H
DH30 18	SAND RUN	М	WWH	0	0	29.07	70.93	0.00	14H 09T
ITTLE BE	AVER - Little Beaver Creek - Priority: 16 # of	WQBELs = 0		PWRA					
OH 4 24	MIDDLE FORK (HEADWATERS TO EAST BRANCH)	F	WWH	1198	16	2.84	40.27	56.89	02H 12M 16S 11S 02T
OH 4 22	MIDDLE FORK (EAST BRANCH TO MIDDLE RUN)	F	WWH	442	0	5.42	94.58	0.00	02H 12S 02T
OH 4 17	MIDDLE FORK (MIDDLE RUN TO WEST FORK)	EF	EWH	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	02T
OH 4 34	WEST FORK (HEADWATERS TO BRUSH CREEK)	М	HWW	0	0	55.42	0.00	0.00	OOT
IAUMEE -	St. Marys River - Priority: 17 # of WQBELs = 1	. 319 Proj	ects: 3 cu	rrent	P	WRA			
DH64 15	ST. MARYS R. (SIXMILE CREEK TO TWELVEMILE CREE	K) M	WWH	716	178	0.00	0.00	100.0	16H 12M
OH64 18	ST. MARYS RIVER (MIAMI/ERIE CANAL TO SIXMILE C	R.) M	HWW	537	245	0.00	66.06	33.94	16M 12M 12H 16M
OH64 25	ST. MARYS RIVER (GRAND LAKE TO ST. MARYS)	М	WWH	45	80	0.00	0.00	100.0	16Н 09Н
CIOTO -	Big Darby Creek - Priority: 18 # of WQBELs = 2	319 Proj	ects: 1 cu	rrent	1 propo	sed	PWRA		
OH39 23	SUGAR RUN	M	WWH	470	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	06H 09H 12M 16M 02M

Table 1 - Ohio TMDL Priority List for FFY 1997-98

Waterhody		Selection	Aquatic	ADV S	cores	% Stream	m Miles A	ffected:	Causes of
maccinoay	Segment # & Name	Criteria	Life Use	IBI	ICI	Threat	Partial	NotSuppo	rt Impairment
SCIOTO - B	ig Darby Creek - Priority: 18 # of WQBELs = 2	319 Proj	ects: 1 cu	rrent	1 propo	sed	PWRA		
OH39 10	LITTLE DARBY CREEK (TREACLE CREEK TO SPRING FOR	() E	EWH	0	132	0.00	100.00	0.00	06H
OH39 22	BIG DARBY CREEK (BUCK RUN TO SUGAR RUN)	E	EWH	119	8	75.04	21.84	3.12	05H 12H 11S 11T
OH39 1	BIG DARBY CREEK (DARBYVILLE TO SCIOTO RIVER)	E	EWH	101	0	35.81	34.80	29.39	12H 11T
OH39 6	HELLBRANCH RUN	М	WWH	69	131	14.06	30.47	55.47	16H 12H 11M 15M 11T 16T 09T
OH39 6.2	HAMILTON DITCH	М	MWH-C	88	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	16H 12H 11M
ОН39 12	TREACLE CREEK	ME	EWH	13	44	0.00	8.45	0.00	16H 11M 15S
OH39 27	BUCK RUN	M	WWH	75	0	0.00	73.47	0.00	16H 11H 12M
OH39 32	LITTLE DARBY CREEK	ME	EWH	18	0	8.33	91.67	0.00	16H 16T 16T 11T
ОН39 6.1	CLOVER GROFF DITCH	М	MWH-C	33	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	16H 12M 11M 15M
OH39 8	LITTLE DARBY CREEK (SPRING FORK TO BIG DARBY CR	.) E	EWH	0	0	0.00	46.67	53.33	12H
OH39 19	BIG DARBY CREEK (FITZGERALD DITCH TO L. DARBY C	R.) ME	EWH	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	12T
ОН39 33	FLAT BRANCH	M	MWH-C	0	0	. 0.00	0.00	100.0	16H 11M 16H 11M
OH39 19.1	FITZGERALD DITCH	М		0	Ó	0.00	0.00	100.0	06Н
SCIOTO - L	ower Olentangy River/Middle Scioto River - Prior	ity: 19 #	of WQBELs	= 3					
он37 9	OLENTANGY RIVER (BARTHOLOMEW RUN TO SCIOTO RIVER	R) ME	EWH	247	453	20.03	56.63	23.34	12H 16M 15M 12T 16T 15T
ОН37 25	SCIOTO RIVER (MILL CREEK TO INDIAN RUN)	М	WWH	198	0	36.20	0.00	63.80	16H 09M 09T 16T
ОН37 13	OLENTANGY RIVER (DELAWARE RUN TO BARTHOLOMEW RU	1) M	WWH	173	0	64.11	35.89	0.00	09H 12H 12T
онз7 1	SCIOTO RIVER (SCIOTO BIG RUN TO BIG WALNUT CREE)	K) MF	HWW	27	0	0.00	20.69	1.38	12H 06M
онз7 4	SCIOTO BIG RUN	M	HWW	0	0	0.00	67.86	32.14	15H 16H 15H
он37 б	SCIOTO RIVER (OLENTANGY RIVER TO SCIOTO BIG RUN)	MF	WWH	0	0	0.00	37.97	27.85	12H 06M 15M
онз7 5	MARSH RUN	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	01H
ОН37 19.2	TRABUE RUN	M		0	0	0.00	0.00	75.00	12H 03H
ОН37 12	RUSH RUN	M	HWW	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H
ОН37 27	EVERSOLE RUN	M	HWW	0	0	50.00	0.00	0.00	11T
MUSKINGUM	- Sandy Creek - Priority: 20 # of WQBELs = 0	PW	RA				***************************************		
OH11 5	NIMISHILLEN CREEK	M	WWH	2367	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 06H 05H 03M
OH11 1	SANDY CREEK (NIMISHILLEN CREEK TO TUSCARAWAS R.)	M	WWH	30	44	0.00	0.00	100.0	05H 11M 09S

Order: Group Priority + Segment ADV

Table 1 - Ohio TMDL Priority List for FFY 1997-98

Basin & Wa	aterbody Group	Selection	Aquatic	ADV S	cores	% Stream	m Miles A	ffected:	Causes of
Waterbody	Segment # & Name	Criteria	Life Use	IBI	ICI	Threat	Partial	NotSuppo:	rt Impairment
MUSKINGUM	- Sandy Creek - Priority: 20 # of WQBELs = 0	PW	RA						
OH11 16	SANDY CREEK (STILL FORK TO LITTLE SANDY CREEK)	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	12.82	23.08	12H
OH11 11	EAST BRANCH NIMISHILLEN CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	18.48	81.52	12H 09H 15H
OH11 21	STILL FORK SANDY CREEK	M	HWW	0	0	0.00	8.33	91.67	16H
USKINGUM	- Upper Tuscarawas River - Priority: 21 # of W	QBELs = 0							
OH10 1	TUSCARAWAS RIVER (PIGEON RUN TO SANDY CREEK)	MF	WWH	1910	246	0.00	0.00	100.0	01H 05M 12S 09S
DH10 9	TUSCARAWAS RIVER (CHIPPEWA CREEK TO NEWMAN CREEK	() MF	WWH	1406	401	0.00	8.85	91.15	13H 12M
OH10 5	TUSCARAWAS RIVER (NEWMAN CREEK TO PIGEON RUN)	MF	WWH	635	283	0.00	19.23	80.77	01H 16M 05S 12S 09S
OH10 26	TUSCARAWAS RIVER (WOLF CREEK TO CHIPPEWA CREEK)	MF	MWH-C	578	594	0.00	0.00	100.0	13H 01M 16M 02M 03M
OH10 29	HUDSON RUN	M	HWW	84	198	0.00	0.00	60.87	13H 10S 12M
DH10 28	WOLF CREEK	M	WWH	18	162	0.00	0.00	25.25	01H 16M 12M
DH10 33	TUSCARAWAS RIVER (HEADWATERS TO WOLF CREEK)	MF	WWH	110	0	33.07	49.61	17.32	01H 12M 16M 02M 15S 11T 16T
OH10 33.2	METZGERS DITCH	М	WWH	110	0	56.67	0.00	43.33	12H 03T 24M 24T
)H10 12	NIMISILA CREEK	M	WWH	45	0	0.00	0.00	79.57	15H 16H 15H 00H 16H
)H10 1.3	TRIB. TO TUSCARAWAS R. (RM 83.74)	M	NONE	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	06H
UYAHOGA -	- Upper Cuyahoga River - Priority: 22 # of WQBE	Ls = 3	PWRA						
DH88 1	L. CUYAHOGA R. (WINGFOOT LAKE OUT. TO CUYAHOGA F	R.) M	WWH	896	423	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 03M 05M 01S 26M
)H88 13	CUYAHOGA RIVER (HEADWATERS TO BLACK BROOK)	M	WWH	113	640	0.00	32.35	67.65	12H 15M 16M 26M
)H88 5	CUYAHOGA RIVER (CONGRESS LAKE OUT. TO L. CUYAHOG	BA) M	HWW	285	14	0.00	55.81	3.10	12H 15M 14S 03S
H88 19	TARE CREEK	M	WWH	88	0	0.00	27.78	72.22	16H 12H
)H88 6	FISH CREEK	M	WWH	40	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	00S
ЭН88 9.1	TRIB. TO WAHOO DITCH (RAVENNA WWTP)	M	NONE	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	12M 16H 23H
DH88 16	WEST BRANCH CUYAHOGA RIVER	М	WWH	0	0	0.00	8.22	41.10	12H
DH88 17	BUTTERNUT CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H
SHTABULA	- Ashtabula River - Priority: 23 # of WQBELs =	0 319 P	rojects: 1	curren	t				the state of the s
OH93 5	ASHTABULA RIVER	MRF	WWH	305	0	0.00	0.00	4.72	03H 16H 19S
ЭН93 3	CONNEAUT CREEK (OH./PA. BORDER TO LAKE ERIE)	М	CWH	213	0	4.20	0.00	2.10	16H 10S 16T 10T

Table 1 - Ohio TMDL Priority List for FFY 1997-98

Basin & W	aterbody Group	Selection	Aquatic	ADV S	cores	% Stream	m Miles A	ffected:	Causes of
Waterbody	Segment # & Name	Criteria	Life Use	IBI	ICI	Threat	Partial	NotSuppor	t Impairment
ASHTABULA	- Ashtabula River - Priority: 23 # of WQBELs =	0 319 F	rojects: 1	curren	.t				
ОН93 6	FIELDS BROOK	R	LWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	05H 03M 14S 07S
о н 93 7	STRONG BROOK	M	LRW	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	17H 09H 19S 05M
ROCKY - Ro	ocky River - Priority: 24 # of WQBELs = 4								
ОН87 5	BALDWIN CREEK	M	WWH	947	0	0.00	23.91	76.09	16M 09H 12H
ОН87 3	ABRAM CREEK	М	WWH	552	198	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 06H 17M
OH87 2	ROCKY RIVER	М	HWW	363	0	7.50	63.33	29.17	12M 09M 12T 15T
OH87 4	EAST BRANCH ROCKY RIVER (HEALEY CREEK TO ROCKY R	.) M	WWH	276	0	0.00	5.88	29.41	17S 06M
OH87 11	PLUM CREEK	М	WWH	162	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	17M 09H 12H
OH87 10	WEST BRANCH ROCKY RIVER (PLUM CR. TO EAST BRANCH) M	WWH	80	0	0.00	45.16	54.84	0 9M
ОН87 7	NORTH ROYALTON "A" TRIB.	M	WWH	79	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 07H
ОН87 12	WEST BRANCH ROCKY RIVER (COSSETT CR. TO PLUM CR.) M	HWW	30	0	0.00	15.15	5.45	12H
OH87 8	EAST BRANCH ROCKY RIVER (HEADWATERS TO HEALEY CR	.) M	WWH	0	0	0.00	3.09	0.00	12M 09M
OH87 13	STRONGSVILLE "A" TRIB.	М	HWW	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	06H 17M
OH87 14	BAKER CREEK	М	WWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	06S 17M 12M
OH87 17	MALLET CREEK	М	WWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	MOO
SANDUSKY	- Upper Sandusky River - Priority: 25 # of WQBEI	s = 0	PWRA						
OH80 9	SANDUSKY RIVER (BROKEN SWORD CREEK TO ROCK RUN)	M	WWH	1223	25	0.00	67.80	32.20	06S 11H 12M
OH80 17	SANDUSKY RIVER (UNNAMED TRIB. TO BROKEN SWORD CR	.) M	HWW	868	8	0.00	49.45	14.65	12H 11H 03S
OH80 10	ROCK RUN	M	WWH	22	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	11H
OH80 1	SANDUSKY RIVER (ROCK RUN TO TYMOCHTEE CREEK)	M	WWH	6	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	11H
LITTLE MI	AMI - Lower Little Miami River - Priority: 26 #	of WQBELs	= 0	PWR	A				
OH54 7	LITTLE MIAMI R. (O'BANNON CR. TO E. FK. L. MIAMI) E	EWH	607	29	36.80	53.60	9.60	12H 06M 12T
OH54 1	LITTLE MIAMI RIVER (E. FK. L. MIAMI R. TO OHIO R	.) E	EWH	592	0	33.91	66.09	0.00	12H 15M 15T
OH54 16	LITTLE MIAMI RIVER (TURTLE CR. TO O'BANNON CR.)	E	EWH	327	0	0.00	77.17	22.83	12H 05H
OH54 30	LITTLE MIAMI RIVER (CAESAR CREEK TO TODD FORK)	E	EWH	66	0	0.00	31.45	21.77	12H 06H 05M
OH54 2	CLOUGH CREEK	М	WWH	110	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	16H 15H
OH54 5	DRY RUN	M	WWH	110	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 16M 15M 11M

Table 1 - Ohio TMDL Priority List for FFY 1997-98

Basin & W	aterbody Group		Aquatic	ADV S	cores	% Strea	m Miles A	ffected:	Causes of
Waterbody	Segment # & Name	Criteria	Life Use	IBI	ICI	Threat	Partial	NotSuppo	ort Impairment
LITTLE MIX	AMI - Lower Little Miami River - Priority: 26	# of WQBELs	= 0	PWR	ΔA				
OH54 24	TURTLE CREEK	М	HWW	0	110	0.00	6.67	26.67	12H 06H 05H
OH54 23	MUDDY CREEK	М	WWH	105	0	21.88	39.06	0.00	12H 06M 12T
DH54 9	SYCAMORE CREEK	M	HWW	0	66	0.00	75.00	25.00	12H 16H 11H
)H54 9.1	TRIB TO SYCAMORE CR.	М	NONE	28	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 16H 15H
H54 11.1	E BR POLK RUN	M	NONE	22	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	11H 16M
DH54 12	O'BANNON CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	96.30	0.00	0.00	12T
OH54 27	LITTLE MIAMI RIVER (TODD FORK TO TURTLE CREEK)	E	EWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	12H
OH54 4	DUCK CREEK	M	LRW	0	0	0.00	0.00	61.54	01H
DH54 25	DRY RUN	М	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 11H 15H
GREAT MIA	MI - Four Mile Creek - Priority: 27 # of WQBEI	ıs = 2							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
OH61 24	FOURMILE CREEK (L. FOURMILE CR. TO SEVENMILE C	R.) M	WWH	0	1118	34.29	24.00	0.00	15H 09M 12M 16T
DH61 19	SEVENMILE CREEK (HEADWATERS TO PAINT CREEK)	ME	EWH	8	44	7.14	78.57	14.29	05H 12S 02S 11T
OH61 12	SEVENMILE CREEK (PAINT CREEK TO FOURMILE CREEK) M	WWH	0	0	0.00	34.21	0.00	12H
DH61 31	FOURMILE CREEK (HEADWATERS TO LITTLE FOURMILE	CR.) M	HWW	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	16T 11T 16T 11T
FREAT MIA	MI - Loramie Cr/Great Miami River - Priority: 28	# of WQBE	Ls = 0	319 Pro	jects:	1 current			
OH56 8	LOST CREEK	E	EWH	374	0	0.00	94.85	0.00	15H
OH56 3	HONEY CREEK	E	EWH	78	0	0.00	66.00	0.00	06H
DH56 11	SPRING CREEK	E	EWH	22	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	12H
OH56 10.3	B.F. GOODRICH TRIB	М	LRW	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	05H
OH56 32.3	WHITE FEATHER CREEK	М	NONE	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	16H 15H
DH56 26	LORAMIE CREEK (MILE CREEK TO TURTLE CREEK)	М	WWH	0	0	0.00	22.08	77.92	15H 16H
OH56 32	LORAMIE CREEK (HEADWATERS TO MILE CREEK)	М	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	16H 15H
OH56 1	GREAT MIAMI RIVER (LOST CREEK TO STILLWATER RI	VER) ME	EWH	0	0	0.00	11.33	0.00	12H
)H56 12	GREAT MIAMI RIVER (LORAMIE CREEK TO SPRING CRE	EK) M	EWH	0	0	0.00	6.60	0.00	15H
	GREAT MIAMI RIVER (PLUM CREEK TO LORAMIE CREEK) M	WWH	0	0	0.00	50.86	0.00	15H 12H
)H56 36									
OH56 36 SCIOTO - 1	Big Walnut Creek - Priority: 29 # of WQBELs =	2			V. I I				The state of the s

Table 1 - Ohio TMDL Priority List for FFY 1997-98

20022 4 11	aterbody Group	Selection	Aquatic	ADV Scores		% Stream	m Miles A	ffected:	d: Causes of	
Waterbody	Segment # & Name	Criteria	Life Use	IBI	ICI	Threat	Partial	NotSuppo	ort Impairment	
SCIOTO - !	Big Walnut Creek - Priority: 29 # of WQBELs =	2								
				*					16T	
ОН38 40	BIG WALNUT CREEK (HEADWATERS TO REYNOLDS RUN)	М	WWH	188	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	15H 12S 15H 12S	
ОН38 21	ROCKY FORK	ME	EWH	66	88	47.62	19.05	33.33	12H 09H 17M 22M 11H 117 16T	
ОН38 1	BIG WALNUT CREEK (ALUM/BLACKLICK CR. TO SCIOTO	R.) M	WWH	0	o	52.29	0.00	0.00	21T	
ОН38 18	BIG WALNUT CREEK (ROCKY FORK TO ALUM CREEK)	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	7.69	0.00	00H	
OH38 20	BIG WALNUT CREEK (HOOVER RES. DAM TO ROCKY FOR	K) M	WWH	0	0	43.01	56.99	0.00	14H 15T	
OH38 21.1	ROSE RUN	M	NONE	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	16T 12T	
онзв 4.2	NOBLE RUN (SPRING HOLLOW)	M	WWH	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	11T 16T	
OH38 4.1	MEACHAM RUN	М	WWH	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	16T	
OH34 1	LITTLE SCIOTO RIVER (ROCK FORK TO SCIOTO RIVER) MF	HWW	261	744	0.00	19.23	80.77	03H 06M 05H 19H 11M 16	
TRIB	S - S.E Leading Creek - Priority: 31 # of W	OBELG = 0					***************************************	<u></u>		
ОН29 35	LEADING CREEK (DEXTER RUN TO LITTLE LEADING CR	_	нММ	1590	1672	0.00	71.00	29.00	10H 05H 08M 16H 11S 11H	
OH29 28	LEADING CREEK (LITTLE LEADING CREEK TO OHIO RIV	ver) M	WWH	1372	352	0.00	0.00	100.0	10H 05H 11S 16H 08M 13H	
OH29 37	PARKER RUN	M	WWH	616	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	10H 05H 16H 08M 13H	
DH29 12	CAMPAIGN CREEK	M	WWH	77	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	11H	
DH29 39	LEADING CREEK (HEADWATERS TO DEXTER RUN)	M	WWH	48	0	0.00	50.00	0.00	12M 11H	
OH29 19	KYGER CREEK	М	WWH	12	0	64.79	0.00	35.21	10H 05H 11H 13M 06T 10T 05T 11T	
DH29 40	DEXTER RUN	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	15H	
OH29 34	LITTLE LEADING CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	11H	
		M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	10H	
DH29 32	THOMAS FORK									
OH29 32	Upper Hocking River - Priority: 32 # of WQBEL		PWRA							
				989	11	0.00	36.54	57.69	12H 16M 11S	

Order: Group Priority + Segment ADV

Table 1 - Ohio TMDL Priority List for FFY 1997-98

asin & Waterbody Group	Selection	on Aquatic	ADV Scores		% Stream	m Miles A	ffected:	Causes of	
Waterbody	Segment # & Name	Criteria	Life Use	IBI	ICI	Threat	Partial	NotSuppo	rt Impairment
OCKING -	Upper Hocking River - Priority: 32 # of WQBEL	s = 0	PWRA					t and a state of the	
H25 25	BALDWIN RUN	M	WWH	45	112	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 16S
)H25 4.2	AMANDA CREEK	M	WWH	28	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	16H
)H25 1	HOCKING RIVER (RUSH CREEK TO CLEAR CREEK)	M	WWH	26	0	0.00	50.12	0.00	11H 05S
)H25 4	CLEAR CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	99.50	0.50	12H 16H 11M
USKINGUM	- Black & Clear Fks, Mohican R Priority: 33	# of WQBEL	ıs = 0 3	19 Proj	ects: 1	current			
)H16 28	BLACK FK MOHICAN R. (HEADWATERS TO LEATHERWOOD	CR.) M	WWH	620	684	0.00	0.00	71.55	05H 16S
)H16 16	BLACK FORK MOHICAN R. (ROCKY FORK TO CLEAR FOR	K) M	HWW	593	0	0.00	0.00	92.86	05H 12M 16S
)H16 28.1	TUBY RUN	М .	HWW	244	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	05H 10M 16S
)H16 23	BLACK FK MOHICAN R(LEATHERWOOD CR TO WHETSTONE	CR) M	HWW	132	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 11M 25S
)H16 23.1	FLEMING FALLS CREEK	M	HWW	0	. 0	0.00	100.00	0.00	12H
)H16 23.1	1 TRIB TO FLEMING FALLS CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	12H
H16 19	ROCKY FORK MOHICAN RIVER	М	WWH	0	0	16.33	3.06	64.29	05H 03H 05T 03T
)H16 20	TOUBY RUN	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	16H
ERMILION	- Vermilion River - Priority: 34 # of WQBELs	= 2	PWRA						
)H85 3	BEAVER CREEK	M	WWH	644	0	0.00	25.81	74.19	07M 09S 00S 17M
IAUMEE -	Lower Blanchard River - Priority: 35 # of WQBE	Ls = 1						-	
) Н67 1	BLANCHARD RIVER (CRANBERRY CREEK TO AUGLAIZE R	.) M	HWW	771	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	16H 11H
о н 67 10	RILEY CREEK (LITTLE RILEY CREEK TO BLANCHARD R	.) M	HWW	164	562	30.07	33.33	36.60	16M 06H 01H 16T
Н67 12	RILEY CREEK (HEADWATERS TO LITTLE RILEY CREEK)	M	HWW	100	168	0.00	0.00	100.0	15
) Н67 6	BLANCHARD RIVER (RILEY CREEK TO CRANBERRY CREEK	(X)	WWH	148	0	0.00	14.87	22.69	16H 11H
H67 13	LITTLE RILEY CREEK	M	WWH	85	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	15H 12M
AUMEE -	St. Joseph R/Upper Maumee R - Priority: 36 # o	f WQBELs = 0)						
)H65 33	ST. JOSEPH RIVER (NETTLE CREEK TO BEAR CREEK)	М	WWH	915	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	16M
)H65 31	BEAR CREEK	M	WWH	524	0	0.00	10.71	0.00	16H
)H65 21	ST. JOSEPH RIVER (FISH CREEK TO OH/IND. BORDER)	M	WWH	488	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	16M 05S 11M
)H65 28	ST. JOSEPH RIVER (BEAR CREEK TO FISH CREEK)	М	WWH	88	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	16M

Table 1 - Ohio TMDL Priority List for FFY 1997-98

Basin & Wa	aterbody Group	Selection	Aquatic	ADV S	cores	% Strea	m Miles A	ffected:	Causes of
Waterbody	Segment # & Name	Criteria	Life Use	IBI	ICI	Threat	Partial	NotSupp	ort Impairment
MAUMEE - S	t. Joseph R/Upper Maumee R - Priority: 36 # c	of WQBELs = 0)	*****					
ОН65 37	ST. JOSEPH RIVER (HEADWATERS TO NETTLE CREEK)	M	WWH	44	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	16M
ОН65 26 .	FISH CREEK (OH./IND. BORDER TO ST. JOSEPH RIVE	CR) M	WWH	16	0	56.91	43.09	0.00	16M 19T
ОН65 1	MAUMEE RIVER (GORDON CREEK TO TIFFIN RIVER)	М	WWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	16M
scioto - L	ower Scioto R/Scioto Brush Cr - Priority: 37	# of WQBELs	= 1						4 330000
OH46 84	SCIOTO RIVER (SUNFISH CREEK TO SCIOTO BRUSH CR	REEK) F	WWH	619	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	12H 01M
OH46 1	SCIOTO RIVER (SCIOTO BRUSH CREEK TO OHIO RIVER	2) F	WWH	158	0	0.00	36.96	13.04	12H
OH46 23	S FK SCIOTO BRUSH (SHAWNEE CR. TO SCIOTO BRUSH	ICR) E	EWH	0	66	87.95	12.05	0.00	06H 06T
OH46 36	TURKEY RUN	E	EWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	05H
ОН46 63	SCIOTO BRUSH CREEK (RARDEN CREEK TO SOUTH FORE	() ME	EWH	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	05T
OH46 84.1	WEST DITCH (PIKETON D.O.E.)	M	LRW	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	11M
OH46 90	BIG RUN	М	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	33.33	16H
SCIOTO - M	Middle Scioto River - Priority: 38 # of WQBELs	3 = 1	<u></u>						
OH45 29	SCIOTO RIVER (PEEPEE CREEK TO SUNFISH CREEK)	F	WWH	631	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	12H 01M
OH45 44	SCIOTO RIVER (SALT CREEK TO PEEPEE CREEK)	F	WWH	341	0	0.00	85.51	0.00	12H 01S
OH45 21	LITTLE BEAVER CREEK	M	WWH	60	0	0.00	65.79	0.00	15M 18M 05M 01M
ОН45 20	BIG BEAVER CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	63.33	0.00	005
ОН45 56	SCIOTO RIVER (PAINT CREEK TO SALT CREEK)	MF	WWH	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	01T
SCIOTO - E	Sokes Cr & Mill Cr - Priority: 39 # of WQBELs	= 0	PWRA						
OH35 1	MILL CREEK (OTTER RUN TO SCIOTO RIVER)	. М	WWH	645	703	8.12	50.85	41.03	12H 05M 03M 12T 11T
OH35 15	BOKES CREEK (BRUSH RUN TO SCIOTO RIVER)	M	WWH	364	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	09M 11M 16S 01H
OH35 8	CROSSES RUN	· M	WWH	165	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	02M 12M 03M 24M
OH35 18.1	POWDERLICK RUN	M	NONE	88	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	16H 11M 09H 12M
OH35 7	PHELPS RUN	M	WWH	83	0	.0.00	0.00	100.0	05M 12S 16H
OH35 18	BOKES CREEK (HEADWATERS TO BRUSH RUN)	М	WWH	54	0	0.00	16.00	6.67	09M 11M 16M 01H 09M 11M 16M 01H
OH35 12	SCIOTO RIVER (BOKES CREEK TO MILL CREEK)	M	WWH	36	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	12H
OTTO E 1 1	TOWN RUN	М	NONE	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	01H 12M 16H 19M 05M

Table 1 - Ohio TMDL Priority List for FFY 1997-98

Basin & Wa	asin & Waterbody Group	Selection	on Aquatic	ADV Scores		% Stream Miles Affected:			Causes of
Waterbody	Segment # & Name	Criteria	Life Use	IBI	ICI	Threat	Partial	NotSuppo	rt Impairment
SCIOTO - E	Bokes Cr & Mill Cr - Priority: 39 # of WQBELs	= 0	PWRA						
ОН35 2	BLUES CREEK	M	MWH-C	0	0	0.00	89.55	0.00	16H 12M
OH35 19	POWDERLICK RUN	M	NONE	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 16M 09H
MUSKINGUM	- Lower Muskingum River - Priority: 40 # of W	QBELs = 0	319 Proje	cts: 1	current				
ОН24106	MUSKINGUM RIVER (SALT CREEK TO MILLERS RUN)	М	WWH	32	676	0.00	51.97	8.66	22H 05H 03H
OH24 17	MUSKINGUM RIVER (MEIGS CREEK TO BIG RUN)	M	WWH	548	322	0.00	94.19	5.81	14H
OH24 27	SOUTH BRANCH WOLF CREEK	E	EWH	0	88	0.00	8.33	0.00	12H
OH24 40	WEST BR. WOLF CREEK (HEADWATERS TO LAUREL RUN)	E	EWH	0	88	0.00	5.00	45.00	12H 11H
OH24 92	MUSKINGUM RIVER (MILLERS RUN TO MEIGS CREEK)	M	WWH	0	176	33.98	58.25	7.77	05H 03H 05T 03T
OH24 1	MUSKINGUM RIVER (BIG RUN TO OHIO RIVER)	M	WWH	48	154	0.00	18.60	0.00	14H
OH24 80	DYES FORK	M	WWH	25	60	83.33	16.67	0.00	11T 11H
OH24 74	MEIGS CREEK	M	WWH	44	0	39.60	60.40	0.00	11T 11H 13S
OH24 36	WEST BR. WOLF CREEK (LAUREL RUN TO MUSKINGUM R	.) E	EWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	12H 11H
OH24 61	OLIVE GREEN CREEK	E	EWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	00H
MUSKINGUM	- Sugar Creek - Priority: 41 # of WQBELs = 0	319 Proje	cts: 1 cur	rent					
OH13 1	SUGAR CREEK (S. FK. SUGAR CR. TO TUSCARAWAS R.) M	WWH	64	662	0.00	0.00	52.50	11H
OH13 1.1	GOETTGE RUN	M	NONE	560	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	10H 11H
OH13 2	BRANDYWINE CREEK	M	WWH	48	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	11H
OH13 21	NORTH FORK SUGAR CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	09H 12H 16H 17H
ОН13 9.3	TRIB. TO S. FK. SUGAR CREEK (RM 14.15)	M	NONE	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	
HOCKING -	Middle Hocking River - Priority: 42 # of WQBE	Ls = 0 31	.9 Projects	: 1 cur	rent 1	proposed	PWF	RA	
OH26 32	LITTLE MONDAY CREEK	M	WWH	154	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	11H
OH26 42	OLDTOWN CREEK	M	WWH	0	110	0.00	100.00	0.00	00H 12M
OH26 25	MONDAY CREEK	M	LRW	66	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	10H
OH26 8	SUNDAY CREEK (W. BR. SUNDAY CR. TO HOCKING R.)	M	LRW	48	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	10H
OH26 1	HOCKING RIVER (MONDAY CREEK TO ATHENS)	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	87.11	0.00	11H 05M
OH26 36	HOCKING RIVER (SCOTT CREEK TO MONDAY CREEK)	M	WWH	0	0	12.95	0.00	0.00	16T
	HOCKING RIVER (ENTERPRISE TO SCOTT CREEK)	М	WWH	0	0	13.51	0.00	0.00	16T

Table 1 - Ohio TMDL Priority List for FFY 1997-98

	Segment # & Name	Selection Criteria	Aquatic Life Use	ADV S	cores ICI		m Miles A Partial	ffected: NotSuppor	Causes of t Impairment
CHAGRIN -	Chagrin River - Priority: 43 # of WQBELs = 0	319 Proje	cts: 1 cur	rent					
)H90 16	EUCLID CREEK	M	WWH	393	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 16H 06M 05M
)H90 10	AURORA BRANCH	М	WWH	134	10	64.41	32.20	3.39	21M 09M 08M 09T
) Н90 5	CHAGRIN RIVER (EAST BRANCH TO LAKE ERIE)	М	WWH	54	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	22H 16H
DH90 12	CHAGRIN RIVER (HEADWATERS TO AURORA BRANCH)	M	HWW	0	35	97.82	2.18	0.00	21H 05M 12S 09T 16T
он90 6	EAST BRANCH CHAGRIN RIVER	M	CWH	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	14T 12T 16T
ЭН90 7	CHAGRIN RIVER (AURORA BRANCH TO EAST BRANCH)	M	HWW	0	0	66.06	33.94	0.00	12H 12T
ЭН90 9	WILLEY CREEK	E	EWH	. 0	0	50.00	0.00	50.00	01H 12M
)H90 16.1	TRIB. TO EUCLID CREEK	М	NONE	.0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	12H
REAT MIAM	MI - Mad River - Priority: 44 # of WQBELs = 0	319 Proje	cts: 2 cur	rent 1	propos	ed			
OH58 1	MAD RIVER (MUD RUN TO GREAT MIAMI RIVER)	М	WWH	168	0	0.00	40.00	10.00	06S 12S 16M
H58 49	GLADY CREEK	M	CWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	16H
H58 37	ANDERSON CREEK	M	CWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	16H
)H58 7	MEDWAY CREEK	M	CWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	15H 16H
)H58 9	DONNELS CREEK	ME	EWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	48.28	12H
)H58 47.1	TRIB. TO KINGS CREEK (RM 0.46)	M	CWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	15H
H58 11	MAD RIVER (BUCK CREEK TO DONNELS CREEK)	М	WWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	16H
H58 15	BUCK CREEK (BEAVER CREEK TO MAD RIVER)	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	20.00	0.00	16H
H58 21	MAD RIVER (CHAPMAN CREEK TO BUCK CREEK)	M	CWH	0	0	0.00	39.35	60.65	16H
H58 24	MOORE RUN	M	MMH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	11H
H58 25	CHAPMAN CREEK	М	CWH	0	0	0.00	24.50	0.00	16H
H58 29	MAD RIVER (NETTLE CREEK TO CHAPMAN CREEK)	M	CWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	16H
H58 36	NETTLE CREEK	М	CWH	0	0	0.00	34.78	0.00	12H
H58 43	MAD RIVER (KINGS CREEK TO NETTLE CREEK)	М	CWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	16H
H58 47	KINGS CREEK	М	CWH	0	0	0.00	55.56	0.00	16H
)H58 48	MAD RIVER (MACOCHEE CREEK TO KINGS CREEK)	M	CWH	0	0	0.00	17.02	82.98	16H
H58 51	MAD RIVER (HEADWATERS TO MACOCHEE CREEK)	M	CWH	0	0	0.00	2.82	25.19	1,6H
CIOTO - S	Salt Creek - Priority: 45 # of WQBELs = 1	PWRA							
H44 17	BUCKEYE CREEK	E	EWH	209	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	11H

Table 1 - Ohio TMDL Priority List for FFY 1997-98

Basin & W	Materbody Group	Selection	Aquatic	ADV S	cores	% Strea	m Miles A	ffected:	Causes of
Waterbody	Segment # & Name	Criteria	Life Use	IBI	ICI	Threat	Partial	NotSuppo	rt Impairment
SCIOTO -	Salt Creek - Priority: 45 # of WQBELs = 1	PWRA						- 	
OH44 24	SALT CREEK (QUEER CREEK TO PIKE RUN)	ME	EWH	64	0	31.03	38.02	0.00	00H 11T 16T
OH44 33	SALT CREEK (LAUREL RUN TO QUEER CREEK)	ME	EWH	8	0	53.25	46.75	0.00	11H 11T 16T
OH44 1	SALT CREEK (LITTLE SALT CREEK TO SCIOTO RIVER)	ME	EWH	5	0	0.00	8.89	0.00	11H
OH44 4	MIDDLE FORK SALT CREEK (PIGEON CR. TO L. SALT CR	.) M	HWM	0	0	50.00	50.00	0.00	11H 22M 11T
ОН44 8	MIDDLE FORK SALT CREEK (HEADWATERS TO PIGEON CR.) M	HWM	0	0	0.00	59.65	0.00	11H 22M
OH44 19	SALT CREEK (PIKE RUN TO LITTLE SALT CREEK)	ME	EWH	0	0	88.53	11.47	0.00	00H 16T 11T
OH44 44	LAUREL RUN	M	WWH	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	11T 16T
OH44 47	MIDDLE FORK	М	WWH	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	11T 16T
MUSKINGUM	- Moxahala Cr, & Middle Muskingum River - Priorit	y: 46 #	of WQBELs :	= 0		PWRA			
OH23 48	MUSKINGUM RIVER (SYMMES CREEK TO LICKING RIVER)	M	HWW	44	487	0.00	22.02	0.00	14H 12M 16S
OH23 27	JONATHAN CREEK (HEADWATERS TO BUCKEYE FORK)	E	EWH	121	0	0.00	91.87	8.13	11H
OH23 43	BLACK FORK	М	WWH	204	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	10H 16H 11M
OH23 36	MOXAHALA CREEK (HEADWATERS TO JONATHAN CREEK)	M	LRW	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	10H
MUSKINGUM	- Wills Creek - Priority: 47 # of WQBELs = 0	319 Proje	cts: 1 curi	cent	PW	TRA.			
OH21 20	SUGARTREE FORK	М	WWH	185	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	11H 16H
OH21 24	TURKEY RUN	M	WWH	4	0	0.00	50.00	0.00	16H
OH21 1	WILLS CREEK (WHITE EYES CREEK TO MUSKINGUM RIVER	.) M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	52.98	15H 11M
OH21 44	CHAPMAN RUN	М	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	05H 06H
OH21 25	BEEHAM RUN	М	WWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	11H
OH21 21	ROCKY FORK	M	HWW	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	11H
OH21 28	COON RUN	M	HWW	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	11H
OH21 27	CHRISTIAN CREEK	M	HWW	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	11H
OH21 26	BRUSHY FORK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	11H
OH21 19	SALT FORK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	11H
OH21 6	WILLS CREEK (BIRDS RUN TO WHITE EYES CREEK)	M	HWW	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	11H
OH21 14	WILLS CREEK (SALT FORK TO BIRDS RUN)	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	11H
OH21 29	WILLS CREEK (LEATHERWOOD CREEK TO SALT FORK)	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	10.81	89.19	11H

Order: Group Priority + Segment ADV

Table 1 - Ohio TMDL Priority List for FFY 1997-98

Basin & Wa	aterbody Group	Selection	Aquatic	ADV S	cores	% Stream	m Miles A	ffected:	Causes of
Waterbody	Segment # & Name	Criteria	Life Use	IBI	ICI	Threat	Partial	NotSupp	ort Impairment
MUSKINGUM	- Stillwater Creek - Priority: 48 # of WQBELs =	0 319	Projects:	1 gurre	nt 1 p	roposed			
OH14 30	CRABORCHARD CREEK	М	WWH	236	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	11H 16H
OH14 29	ATKINSON CREEK	M	WWH	54	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	11H
OH14 1	STILLWATER CREEK (BRUSHY CREEK TO TUSCARAWAS R.)	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	22.22	71.11	12H 16H
OH14 28	STILLWATER CREEK (BOGGS FORK TO BRUSHY FORK)	M	LWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	15H
OH14 31	SKULL FORK	M	LWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	16H
MUSKINGUM	- Lower Tuscarawas River - Priority: 49 # of WQ	BELs = 0	14442.						
OH15 19	TUSCARAWAS RIVER (STILLWATER CREEK TO DUNLOP CR.) ME	EWH	229	0	97.37	2.63	0.00	16H 12M 16T
OH15 32	TUSCARAWAS RIVER (SANDY CREEK TO CONOTTON CREEK)	MF	WWH	368	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	05H 09S
OH15 24	TUSCARAWAS RIVER (SUGAR CREEK TO STILLWATER CREE	K) MF	HWW	58	31	8.35	90.74	0.91	06H 12H 05S 03S 11S 10S 06T 03T
OH15 31	TUSCARAWAS RIVER (CONOTTON CREEK TO SUGAR CREEK)	MF	WWH	55	0	0.00	54.05	45.95	05H 16M 11S 12M 06H 04M
OH15 1	TUSCARAWAS RIVER (EVANS CREEK TO WALHONDING RIVE	R) ME	EWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	7.43	12H 05S
OH15 28	OLDTOWN CREEK	M	HWW	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	10H
MAUMEE - U	Opper Blanchard River - Priority: 50 # of WQBELs	= 1				,	******		
ОН66 3	BLANCHARD (EAGLE CREEK TO OTTAWA CREEK)	М	HWW	52	297	0.00	100.00	0.00	09H 16H 12H 05M 19M 11M
ОН66 10	BLANCHARD (THE OUTLET TO EAGLE CREEK)	М	WWH	0	0	0.00	34.90	0.00	05H 16M 12H
GREAT MIAM	MI - Upper Great Miami River - Priority: 51 # of	WQBELs =	0 319 Pi	rojects	: 3 cur	rent	PWRA	-	
OH55 43	NORTH FORK GREAT MIAMI RIVER	M	HWW	99	. 0	0.00	0.00	100.0	15H 16H 14S 12S 09S
OH55 41	VAN HORN CREEK	M	HWW	55	0	0,.00	0.00	100.0	15H 16H 11M 12M 09M
OH55 36.1	BELLE CENTER TRIBUTARY	M	WWH	22	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 11M 16M
OH55 34	GREAT MIAMI R. (CHER. MANS RUN TO MUCHINIPPI CR.) M	WWH	0	0	0.00	71.00	0.00	11H
OH55 11	GREAT MIAMI RIVER (BOKENGEHALAS CR. TO INDIAN CR	.) M	WWH	- 0	0	0.00	50.85	0.00	15H
OH55 17	BOKENGEHALAS CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	35.71	0.00	16H
OH55 18	BLUEJACKET CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	61.54	25.64	16H
OH55 19	OPOSSUM RUN	M	HWW	0	0	0.00	34.21	65.79	16H
OH55 20	GREAT MIAMI R. (MUCHINIPPI CR. TO BOKENGEHALAS C	R) M	WWH	0	0	0.00	40.90	0.00	12H
OH55 27	MUCHINIPPI CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	33.94	11H 16H

Table 1 - Ohio TMDL Priority List for FFY 1997-98

Basin & W	aterbody Group	Selection	Aquatic	ADV s	cores	% Strea	m Miles A	ffected:	Causes of
Waterbody	Segment # & Name	Criteria	Life Use	IBI	ICI	Threat	Partial 	NotSuppo	ort Impairment
MUSKINGUM	- Kokosing River - Priority: 52 # of WQBELs = 0	319 Pr	ojects: 1 p	propose	·d.	PWRA			
OH18 34	KOKOSING RIVER (HEADWATERS TO N. BR. KOKOSING R.) E	EWH	105	36	0.00	100.00	0.00	12H 16S
OH18 7	EAST BRANCH JELLOWAY CREEK	E	EWH	17	0	0.00	86.96	13.04	12H
OH18 1	KOKOSING RIVER (JELLOWAY CREEK TO MOHICAN RIVER)	E	EWH	0	0	0.00	28.07	0.00	06H
OH18 5	JELLOWAY CREEK	E	EWH	0	0	0.00	68.18	31.82	12H 16S
OH18 12	KOKOSING RIVER (WOLF RUN TO JELLOWAY CREEK)	E	EWH	0	0	0.00	52.00	0.00	12H
OH18 14	SCHENCK CREEK	E	EWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	12H 02M
OH18 22	KOKOSING RIVER (N. BR. KOKOSING R. TO WOLF RUN)	E	EWH	0	0	0.00	31.33	0.00	12H
SCIOTO - U	Opper Paint Creek - Priority: 53 # of WQBELs = 2								
OH42 15	RATTLESNAKE CREEK (WEST BRANCH TO LEES CREEK)	E	EWH	143	0	0.00	84.51	0.00	06H
DH42 35	PAINT CREEK (JEFFERSONVILLE TO E. FK. PAINT CREE	K) M	WWH	0	40	0.00	97.96	0.00	22H 16M 12M 05S
OH42 31	PAINT CREEK (E. FK. PAINT CREEK TO SUGAR CREEK)	М	WWH	3	0	0.00	98.69	0.00	12H
HOCKING -	Lower Hocking River - Priority: 54 # of WQBELs	= 0							
OH27 1	HOCKING RIVER (FEDERAL CREEK TO OHIO RIVER)	М	HWW	428	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	15H 11H 11M
DH27 39	HOCKING RIVER (ATHENS TO FEDERAL CREEK)	М	HWW	36	220	0.00	77.61	0.00	11H 16H 12M
DH27 59	LITTLE HOCKING RIVER	M	WWH	66	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	00H
DH27 14	FEDERAL CREEK (MCDOUGALL BRANCH TO HOCKING RIVER) ME	EWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	11H
TUSKINGUM	- Killbuck Creek - Priority: 55 # of WQBELs = 0	319 Pr	ojects: 1 d	urrent	***				
DH19 47	CAMEL CREEK	М	WWH	148	0	0.00	0.00	32.50	12H 16S
)H19 40	LITTLE KILLBUCK CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	12H
)H19 12	WOLF CREEK	М	WWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	00H
H19 44	KILLBUCK CREEK (HEADWATERS TO SHADE CREEK)	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	38.46	27.69	12H 16H
)H19 4.1	TRIB. TO DOUGHTY CREEK (RM 14.34)	M	HWW	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H
)H19 8	KILLBUCK CREEK (BLACK CREEK TO DOUGHTY CREEK)	M	WWH	0	Ó	0.00	100.00	0.00	16H
)H19 31	KILLBUCK CREEK (APPLE CREEK TO SALT CREEK)	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	31.15	55.74	16H 12H
)H19 35	SHREVE CREEK	М	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	33.33	12H
DH19 38	KILLBUCK CREEK (SHADE CREEK TO APPLE CREEK)	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	16.67	0.00	16H

Table 1 - Ohio TMDL Priority List for FFY 1997-98

Basin & Wa	aterbody Group	Selection	Aquatic	ADV S	cores	% Stream	m Miles A	ffected:	Causes of
Waterbody	Segment # & Name	Criteria	Life Use	IBI	ICI	Threat	Partial	NotSuppo	ort Impairment
HIO TRIBS	3 - S.W Whiteoak Cr & Indian Cr - Priority: 5	6 # of WQE	BELs = 0		PWRA	············			
OH49 2.1	EAST BR FIVE MILE CR	M	NONE	240	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	01H 12M
DH49 69	NORTH FORK WHITEOAK CREEK	E	EWH	77	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	11H
DH49 42	WHITEOAK CREEK (STERLING RUN TO OHIO RIVER)	E	EWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	34.38	16H
OHIO TRIBS	S - S.W Ohio Brush Creek - Priority: 57 # o	f WQBELs = 0	<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
DH48 16	LOUISO TRIBUTARY	E	EWH	173	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	15H
DH48 13	LICK CREEK	E	EWH	14	0	0.00	0.00	63.16	15H
DH48 1	OHIO BRUSH CREEK (SEMPLE CREEK TO OHIO RIVER)	E	EWH	0	0	0.00	59.57	40.43	15H 16H 11M 12M
DH48 18	WEST FORK (BUCK RUN TO OHIO BRUSH CREEK)	E	EWH	0	0	0.00	12.22	55.56	15H 12H 11M
DH48 44	OHIO BRUSH CREEK (HEADWATERS TO BAKER FORK)	E	EWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	15H
DH48 31	OHIO BRUSH CREEK (BAKER FORK TO WEST FORK)	ME	EWH	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	15T
DH48 38	MIDDLE FORK	М	WWH	0	0	42.86	0.00	0.00	15T
DH48 37	BAKER FORK	М	WWH	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	15T
OHIO TRIBS	3 - S.W Turkey Cr & Eagle Cr - Priority: 58	# of WQBELs	= 1						
DH47 27	EAGLE CREEK(E/N FORK EAGLE CREEK TO OHIO RIVER)) E	EWH	121	0	0.00	48.76	51.24	15H 11M
AUMEE - M	Middle Maumee River - Priority: 59 # of WQBELs	= 5 319	Projects: :	L propo	sed				
DH73 26	MAUMEE RIVER (AUGLAIZE RIVER TO WADE CREEK)	М	HWW	22	0	0.00	41.76	24.18	16M 12M 16M 12M
DH73 1	BAD CREEK (UNNAMED TRIB. S. OF DELTA TO MAUMEE	R.) M	HWW	5	0	0.00	37.04	44.44	12H
FREAT MIAM	II - Twin Creek - Priority: 60 # of WQBELs = 0							<u> </u>	
DH59 1 5	TWIN CREEK (HEADWATERS TO PRICE CREEK)	E	EWH	119	130	0.00	4.00	96.00	12H
OH59 10	TWIN CREEK (PRICE CREEK TO BANTAS FORK)	E	EWH	37	0	0.00	81.48	18.52	16H 12M
DH59 3	TWIN CREEK (BANTAS FORK TO LITTLE TWIN CREEK)	E	EWH	12	6	0.00	82.39	0.00	12H
DH59 4.1	TRIB. TO TOMS RUN (RM 5.34)	M	WWH	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	11T 16T
DH59 7	BANTAS FORK	E	EWH	0	0	0.00	40.00	0.00	
HIO TRIBS	G - CENTRAL - Yellow Cr & Cross Cr - Priority: 6	1 # of WQB	ELs = 0		PWRA				
)H 5 43	NORTH FORK YELLOW CREEK	M	WWH	0	132	0.00	93.85	6.15	10H

Table 1 - Ohio TMDL Priority List for FFY 1997-98

Basin & W	aterbody Group	Selection	Aquatic	ADV S	cores	% Strea	m Miles A	ffected:	Causes of
Waterbody	Segment # & Name	Criteria	Life Use	IBI	ICI	Threat	Partial	NotSuppo	rt Impairment
SANDUSKY ·	- Middle Sandusky River - Priority: 62 # of WQBE	Ls = 0	PWR	A		_			
)H81 23	SANDUSKY RIVER (SYCAMORE CREEK TO BELLS RUN)	M	HWW	37	0	0.00	37.20	0.00	11H
)H81 1	SANDUSKY RIVER (BELLS RUN TO WOLF CREEK)	M	WWH	3	31	0.00	9.88	0.99	12H
)H81 2	SUGAR CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	64.71	2.94	03H
)H81 28	SANDUSKY RIVER (TYMOCHTEE CREEK TO SYCAMORE CREE	K) M	WWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	11H
IAUMEE - 1	Lower Auglaize River - Priority: 63 # of WQBELs	= 0				10.			
)H71 15	FLATROCK CREEK (WILDCAT CREEK TO AUGLAIZE RIVER)	M	WWH	148	0	8.90	83.47	7.63	12H 15M 11T
)H71 16	FLATROCK CREEK (OH./IND. BORDER TO WILDCAT CREEK) M	WWH	15	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 17H 15M
)H71 15.1	OPOSSUM RUN (PAULDING)	М	NONE	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 17H
RAND - Lo	ower Grand River - Priority: 64 # of WQBELs = 0	319 Pro	jects: 2 c	urrent		The state of the s			
)H92 1	GRAND RIVER (PAINE CREEK TO LAKE ERIE)	ME	EWH	0	0	0.00	30.11	2.15	12H 16S
)H92 1	GRAND RIVER (ESTUARY)	ME	EWH	0	0	0.00	84.00	0.00	13H 05H 01S 16S
ЭН92 16	GRAND RIVER (MILL CREEK TO PAINE CREEK)	E	EWH	0	0	0.00	89.21	0.00	06H
LITTLE MIX	AMI - Todd Fork - Priority: 65 # of WQBELs = 0								
DH52 3	TODD FORK (LITTLE EAST FORK TO LITTLE MIAMI RIVE	R) E	EWH	48	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	06H
)H52 8	TODD FORK (DUTCH CREEK TO LITTLE EAST FORK)	E	EWH	13	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	12H
)H52 17	TODD FORK (HEADWATERS TO DUTCH CREEK)	E	EWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H
)H52 18	DUTCH CREEK	E	EWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H
LITTLE MI	AMI - Caesar Creek - Priority: 66 # of WQBELs =	0 319 P	rojects: 1	curren	t	_			
)H51 1	CAESAR CREEK (CAESAR CREEK LAKE TO LITTLE MIAMI 1	R) M	имн	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	00H
)H51 7	ANDERSON FORK (GROG RUN TO CAESAR CREEK LAKE)	ME	EWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	00H
DH51 2	FLAT FORK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 09H
GCIOTO - N	Walnut Creek - Priority: 67 # of WQBELs = 2								
DH40 1	SCIOTO RIVER (WALNUT CREEK TO BIG DARBY CREEK)	MF	WWH	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	11T 16T
DH40 13	WALNUT CREEK (SYCAMORE CREEK TO GEORGE CREEK)	М	WWH	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	12T 09T 21T
OH40 9	WALNUT CREEK (GEORGE CREEK TO LITTLE WALNUT CREEK	K) M	WWH	0	0 .	100.00	0.00	0.00	12T 09T
H40 15	SYCAMORE CREEK	M	HWW	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	12T

Table 1 - Ohio TMDL Priority List for FFY 1997-98

Basin & W	Waterbody Group	Selection	Aquatic	ADV S	cores	% Stream	m Miles A	ffected:	Causes of
Waterbody	y Segment # & Name	Criteria	Life Use	IBI	ICI	Threat	Partial	NotSuppo	ort Impairment
CIOTO -	Walnut Creek - Priority: 67 # of WQBELs = 2					7			
DH40 16	WALNUT CREEK (PAWPAW CREEK TO SYCAMORE CREEK)	М	HWW	0	, 0	100.00	0.00	0.00	12T 09T
NUSKINGUM	- Licking River - Priority: 68 # of WQBELs = 0	319 Pro	jects: 1 c	ırrent					
)H22 41	OTTER FORK	M	WWH	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	12T
DH22 48	LOBDELL CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	12H 16H
)H22 58	BEAVER RUN	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12M 07M 05M
H22 59	S. FK. LICKING R(TRIB@ 23.25 TO BUCKEYE LK OUTLE	T) M	HWW	0	0	68.27	31.73	0.00	12H 16M 16T
H22 1	LICKING RIVER (DILLON RES. TO MUSKINGUM RIVER)	M	HWW	0	0	0.00	29.03	0.00	06H
)H22 6	BIG RUN	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	09H 11H
)H22 23	NORTH FORK (SYCAMORE CREEK TO S. FK. LICKING R.)	М	WWH	0	0	0.00	26.32	0.00	12H
)H22 45	s. FK. LICKING R. (BUCKEYE LK OUTLET TO LICKING	R) M	WWH	0	0	9.38	0.00	0.00	09 T 16T
H22 57	RAMP CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	15.00	0.00	03H
NUSKINGUM	- Conotton Creek - Priority: 69 # of WQBELs = 0)							
)H12 1	CONOTTON CREEK (INDIAN FORK TO TUSCARAWAS RIVER)	М	HWW	140	88	0.00	0.00	100.0	11H
PORTAGE -	Lower Portage River - Priority: 70 # of WQBELs	= 0		··· <u>····</u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
)H78 10.1	LACARPE CREEK	М	LRW	66	. 0	0.00	0.00	100.0	16M 15M
DH78 4	LITTLE PORTAGE RIVER	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	11H 12H
)H78 8	SUGAR CREEK	М	WWH	0	0	49.94	0.00	0.00	16T 09T
DH78 9	PORTAGE RIVER (NORTH BRANCH TO SUGAR CREEK)	М	WWH	0	0	4.29	0.86	0.00	09H 09T
)H78 3	PORTAGE RIVER (SUGAR CREEK TO LAKE ERIE)	М	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	28.57	12H 11H
ITTLE MI	AMI - East Fork Little Miami River - Priority: 71	# of WQB	ELs = 0		···		<u></u>		
OH53 1	E. FK. LITTLE MIAMI R. (STONELICK CR. TO L. MIAM	I) E	EWH	33	0	25.00	75.00	0.00	12H 05H 05T
)H53 45	E. FK. LITTLE MIAMI R. (SOLOMON RUN TO HOWARD RU	N) E	EWH	33	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H
)H53 6	SHAYLER RUN	М	NONE	24	0	64.10	28.21	7.69	16H 15H 12H 16T 15T 1
H53 2	HALL RUN	М	HWW	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	16T
		D.6	??	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	12T 16T
)H53 6.1	TRIB. TO SHAYLER RUN	M	rr	0	U	100.00	0.00	0.00	121 101

Table 1 - Ohio TMDL Priority List for FFY 1997-98

Basin & W	aterbody Group	Selection	Aquatic	ADV S	cores	% Stream	n Miles A	ffected:	Causes of
Waterbody	Segment # & Name	Criteria	Life Use	IBI	ICI	Threat	Partial	NotSuppo	ort Impairment
LITTLE MI	AMI - East Fork Little Miami River - Priority:	71 # of WQB	ELs = 0						
)H53 36	E. FK. LITTLE MIAMI R. (HOWARD RUN TO TODD RU	JN) E	EWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	27.30	12H
)H53 52	E. FK. LITTLE MIAMI R. (DODSON CR. TO SOLOMON	N RUN) E	EWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 11H
)H53 57	DODSON CREEK	E	EWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	01H
)H53 16	E. FK. LITTLE MIAMI (EAST FK LAKE TO STONELIC	CK CR) ME	EWH	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	09T
ЭН53 8.	STONELICK CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	51.56	0.00	16H 12H 00H
CIOTO - 1	Upper Olentangy River - Priority: 72 # of WQ	BELs = 0							
ЭН36 1	OLENTANGY RIVER (WHETSTONE CREEK TO DELAWARE	RUN) M	WWH	45	0	0.00	58.14	16.28	15H 16M 12S
)H36 23	OLENTANGY RIVER (MUD RUN TO GRAVE CREEK)	М	HWW	0	. 0	0.00	25.69	0.00	11H
)H36 22	GRAVE CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	12H
)H36 34	MUD RUN (TRIB. OF OLENTANGY RIVER)	M	MWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	16H 15H
)H36 11	SHAW CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	11T 19T 16T
H36 12	WHETSTONE CREEK (HEADWATERS TO SHAW CREEK)	ME	EWH	0	0	0.00	33.15	0.00	12Н 09Н 06Н 07Н 16Н
H36 35	OLENTANGY RIVER (HEADWATERS TO MUD RUN)	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	15.19	2.95	12Н 09Н 06Н
SANDUSKY	- Lower Sandusky River - Priority: 73 # of W	QBELs = 0 3	19 Projects	s: 1 pr	oposed			 	
DH82 1	SANDUSKY RIVER (WOLF CREEK TO LAKE ERIE)	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	74.79	4.40	15H 16M 11H 12S
H82 15	WOLF CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	73.08	0.00	26.92	16H 16T
ORTAGE -	Western Lake Erie Tribs - Priority: 74 # of	WQBELs = 0	319 Projec	ts: 1 p	propose	i.			
)H76 23	WOLF CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	15H 16H 09H
H76 18	CEDAR CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	49.38	50.62	15H 16H
H76 19	DRY CREEK	М	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	15H 16H
H76 13	LITTLE CRANE CREEK	M	HWW	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	15H 16H
H76 9	SOUTH BRANCH TURTLE CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	16H
H76 4	TOUSSAINT CREEK (TRIB. E. OF GENOA TO TOUSSAI	INT R) M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	16H
H76 21.1	DRIFTMEYER DITCH	М	NONE	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	16H
H76 21.1	1 AMLOSCH DITCH	M	NONE	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	15H 16H
H76 7	TURTLE CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	15H 16H
H76 5	TOUSSAINT CREEK (HEADWATERS TO TRIB. E. OF GE	INOA) M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	16H

Table 1 - Ohio TMDL Priority List for FFY 1997-98

Basin &	Waterbody Group	Selection	Aquatic	ADV S	cores	% Strea	m Miles A	Affected:	Causes of
Waterbod	y Segment # & Name	Criteria	Life Use	IBI	ICI	Threat	Partial	NotSupp	ort Impairment
PORTAGE -	- Western Lake Erie Tribs - Priority: 74 # of WQE	BELs = 0	319 Projec		propose	ed.	_		
ОН76 11	CRANE CREEK	M	HWW	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	16H
ОН76 14	HENRY CREEK	М	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	15H 16H
OH76 24	OTTER CREEK	М	LRW	0	0	0.00	0.00	75.49	11H 19H
OHIO TRIE	SS - CENTRAL - Short Cr, Wheeling Cr, & McMahon Cr	- Priority	: 75 # 0:	WQBEL	s = 0		PWRA		
OH 6 11	WILLIAMS CREEK	М	HWW	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	11T
OH 6 49	DEEP RUN	М	HWW	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	10H
OH 6 50	SHORT CREEK (PINEY FORK TO OHIO RIVER)	М	LWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	16H 11H
PYMATUNI	NG - Pymatuning Creek - Priority: 76 # of WQBELs	= 0 319	Projects:	1 prop	osed				
ОН 3 6	PYMATUNING CREEK (SHENANGO RESERVOIR TO PA.)	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	12H 15H 16H 17H
ОН 3 1	LITTLE YANKEE RUN	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	12.12	57.58	09H
OH 3 2	LITTLE DEER CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	16H
OH 3 3	YANKEE RUN	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	23.65	50.68	09H 15H 16H
OH 3 10	PYMATUNING CREEK (HEADWATERS TO SHENANGO RES.)	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	46.35	53.65	16H
MAUMEE -	Lower Maumee R./Swan Cr to Lake Erie - Priority: 7	77 # of W	QBELs = 0						
ОН74 17	MAUMEE RIVER (SWAN CREEK TO LAKE ERIE)	М	WWH	0	0	0.00	19.16	80.84	24H
OHIO TRIE	SS - S.E Lower Raccoon Creek - Priority: 78 #	of WQBELs	= 0			***************************************			
OH31 33	RACCOON CREEK (CLAYLICK RUN TO OHIO RIVER)	M	WWH	11	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	00H
ОН31 2	INDIAN GUYAN CREEK (L. INDIAN GUYAN CR. TO OHIO	R) M	WWH	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	00T
OH31 49	RACCOON CREEK (LITTLE RACCOON CREEK TO RYAN RUN)	М	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	0 OH
OHIO TRIE	3S - S.E Shade River - Priority: 79 # of WQBEL	ıs = 0		_				-	
OH28 30	SHADE RIVER	М	WWH	22	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	11H
MUSKINGUN	1 - Walhonding River & Upper Muskingum River - Pric	ority: 80	# of WQBEI	ıs = 0					
OH20 1	MUSKINGUM RIVER (WILLS CREEK TO SYMMES CREEK)	М	HWW	36	0	0.00	38.46	38.46	14H 12M 16S 15S
OH20 14	BRUSHY FORK	ME	EWH	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	12T 16T
								0.00	09T 16T

Table 1 - Ohio TMDL Priority List for FFY 1997-98

Basin & W	aterbody Group	Selection	Aquatic	ADV S	cores	% Stream	m Miles A	ffected:	Causes of
Waterbody	Segment # & Name	Criteria	Life Use	IBI	ICI	Threat	Partial	NotSuppo	rt Impairment
MUSKINGUM	- Walhonding River & Upper Muskingum River - Pr	ciority: 80	# of WQBEI	rs = 0					W.A. 110(2)
OH20 25	MILL CREEK	ME	EWH	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	11T 16T
OHIO TRIB	S - S.E Little Scioto River - Priority: 81	# of WQBELs	= 0						
онзз з1	BEAR RUN	М	WWH	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	12T 06T
ОНЗЗ 61	PINE CREEK (HALES CREEK TO LITTLE PINE CREEK)	М	WWH	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	12T 10T
ОН33 86	ICE CREEK	М	WWH	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	11T
OHIO TRIB	S - S.E Symmes Creek - Priority: 82 # of WC	ΩBELs = 0							
OH32 1	SYMMES CREEK (VENISONHAM CREEK TO OHIO RIVER)	M	WWH	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	11T
ОН32 24	BUFFALO CREEK	М	WWH	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	12 T
OH32 25	COULLEY FORK	M	WWH	0	0	100.00	0.00	0.00	12T
OHIO TRIB	S - CENTRAL - Captina Cr & Sunfish Cr - Priority	7: 83 # of	WQBELs = 0						
ОН 7 36	NORTH FORK CAPTINA CREEK	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	38.89	12H
MAHONING	- Upper Mahoning River - Priority: 84 # of WQF	BELs = 0					-M-0-7-		.,,,,,,
OH 1 30	MAHONING RIVER (HEADWATERS TO BEECH CREEK)	M	HWW	0	0	0.00	0.00	34.22	05H 00H
OH 1 20	MAHONING RIVER (MILTON DAM TO WEST BRANCH)	M	MMH	0	0	0.00	46.91	53.09	16H 21H 15H 12H 25H
OH 1 14	WEST BRANCH MAHONING RIVER	M	WWH	0	0	0.00	100.00	0.00	16H
OH 1 1	MAHONING RIVER (WEST BRANCH TO DUCK CREEK)	М	HWW	0	0	0.00	0.00	100.0	16H 12H
OH 1 3	EAGLE CREEK (SOUTH FORK EAGLE CR. TO MAHONING	R.) M	WWH	0	0	0.00	0.00	33.03	

Table 2 - Ohio Threatened and Non-Attaining Lakes, Ponds, and Reservoirs - Key

Lakes, ponds, and reservoirs are listed in order by waterbody segment number.

Year Assessed - Last year water was evaluated in 305(b) assessment

% of Area Affected - Percent of the lake, pond, or reservoir surface area which threaten, partially support, or do not support the designated aquatic life use.

Causes of Impairment: Same as Table 1.

Table 2 - Ohio Threatened and Non-Attaining Lakes, Ponds, and Reservoirs

	Year % of Area Affected:				
Waterbody Segment # and Name	Assessed	Threat	Partial	No Support	Causes of Impairment
OH 1 14-309 MICHAEL J. KIRWIN RESV. (WEST BR. RESV.)	96	100.0	0.0	0.0	12T 14T 22T 27T 27T 13T
OH 1 14-311 CRYSTAL LAKE	96	0.0	0.0	100.0	05Н
OH 1 22-230 LAKE MILTON	90	100.0	0.0	0.0	12H
OH 1 24-307 BERLIN RESERVOIR	90	0.0	100.0	0.0	12H
OH 1 24-348 DEER CREEK RESERVOIR	96	100.0	0.0	0.0	02T 09T 11T 12T 20T 23T
OH 2 5-239 EVANS LAKE	96	100.0	0.0	0.0	08T 12T 09T
OH 2 23-378 MEANDER CREEK RESERVOIR	96	100.0	0.0	0.0	05T 12T 09T
OH 2 31-381 MOSQUITO CREEK RESERVOIR	90	100.0	0.0	0.0	09T 12T 15T 19T
OH 4 34- 74 GUILFORD LAKE	96	100.0	0.0	0.0	06T 09T 11T 12T
OH 5 8-207 FRIENDSHIP PARK LAKE	92	0.0	0.0	100.0	08H
OH 5 56-206 JEFFERSON LAKE	90	100.0	0.0	0.0	11T 22T
OH 7 44- 35 BARNESVILLE RESERVOIR #3	96	44.1	0.0	0.0	09T 02T 05T
OH10 6-347 SIPPO LAKE	90	100.0	0.0	0.0	12H 22H
OH10 12-360 NIMISILA RESERVOIR	96	100.0	0.0	0.0	12T 09T 02T 08T 11T
OH10 33-358 LONG LAKE	96	0.0	0.0	100.0	09H 14H
OH10 33-359 EAST RESERVOIR	96	0.0	0.0	100.0	05Н 09Н
OH10 33-361 WEST RESERVOIR	96	0.0	0.0	100.0	05H
OH10 33-363 REX LAKE	94	100.0	0.0	0.0	12T 11T 08T 22T 05T
OH10 33-364 TURKEYFOOT LAKE	94	100.0	0.0	0.0	12T 11T 08T 22T 05T
OH10 33-365 MUD LAKE	94	0.0	100.0	0.0	12H
OH12 5-383 ATWOOD RESERVOIR	96	100.0	0.0	0.0	16T 12T 09T
OH12 16- 51 LEESVILLE LAKE	90	100.0	0.0	0.0	09T 12T
OH14 2-180 TAPPAN LAKE	96	100.0	0.0	0.0	09T
OH16 1- 11 PLEASANT HILL LAKE	96	0.0	100.0	0.0	09Н
OH16 21- 13 CHARLES MILL LAKE	92	100.0	0.0	0.0	25H
OH16 21-327 SHELBY RESERVOIR #2	96	0.0	100.0	0.0	05H
OH16 28-326 SHELBY RESERVOIR #1	96	100.0	0.0	0.0	05H
OH18 27-209 NORTH BRANCH KOKOSING RIVER LAKE	92	0.0	100.0	0.0	09Н

Table 2 - Ohio Threatened and Non-Attaining Lakes, Ponds, and Reservoirs

			% of	Area Afi	ected:			
Waterbody S	egment # and Name	Assessed	Threat	Partial	No Support	Causes of Impairment		
OH18 38-265	MT. GILEAD LAKE (UPPER)	96	0.0	100.0	0.0	12H		
OH19 35-397	SHREVE LAKE	90	100.0	0.0	0.0	09Н		
OH21 1- 79	WILLS CREEK RESERVOIR	94	100.0	0.0	0.0	11T 25T 21T 08T 09T 17T		
OH21 19-159	SALT FORK RESERVOIR	96	100.0	0.0	0.0	09T 06T 12T 05T		
OH21 36-273	NEW CONCORD RESERVOIR	96	100.0	0.0	0.0	10T 12T 20T 21T 22T 26T		
OH21 43-158	CAMBRIDGE RESERVOIR	96	0.0	0.0	100.0	06H		
OH22 5-272	DILLON RESERVOIR	90	0.0	100.0	0.0	09H 11H		
OH22 59-212	BUCKEYE LAKE	90	100.0	0.0	0.0	09Н		
OH25 7-120	LAKE ROMONA	96	100.0	0.0	0.0	05T 09T		
OH25 16-289	RUSH CREEK LAKE (RCCD STRUC. 6-A)	94	100.0	0.0	0.0	17H		
OH25 17-124	OAK THORPE RESV. (RCCD STRUC. 6-D)	96	0.0	100.0	0.0	09Н		
OH25 20-283	NEW LEXINGTON RESERVOIR #1 New	96	0.0	0.0	100.0	05Н		
OH25 24-119	LAKE LORETTA	96	100.0	0.0	0.0			
OH25 26-112	GREENFIELD LAKE (HUNTERS RUN #R-63)	94	100.0	0.0	0.0	12T 02T 09T 11T		
OH25 27-111	ROCK MILL LAKE (HRCD STRUC. #9)	96	100.0	0.0	0.0	11H		
OH26 2- 22	LAKE SNOWDEN (MARGARET CR. STRUCTURE #2)	96	100.2	0.0	0.0	12T 09T 11T 21T		
OH26 44-190	LAKE LOGAN (HOCKING LAKE)	92	100.0	0.0	0.0	12H		
OH27 49- 21	DOW LAKE	92	100.0	0.0	0.0	11T 12T		
OH27 63-396	VETO LAKE	92	100.0	0.0	0.0	09H 11H		
OH28 63-248	FORKED RUN LAKE	96	100.0	0.0	0.0	02T 03T 04T 09T 11T 12T 15T 16T		
OH30 17-392	LAKE RUPERT	96	100.0	0.0	0.0	09Н		
ОН30 56-390	LAKE HOPE	92	0.0	0.0	100.0	12H		
OH31 49-147	TYCOON LAKE	88	100.0	0.0	0.0	09T 22T		
OH31 51-148	RIO GRANDE RESERVOIR	96	100.0	0.0	0.0	05H		
OH35 12-106	WHITE SULPHUR LAKE	96	100.0	0.0	0.0			
OH35 30-386	RICHWOOD PARK LAKE	96	0.0	0.0	100.0	05H		
OH36 2-421	BLUE LIMESTONE PARK QUARRY PIT	96	100.0	0.0	0.0	05T 09T		
ОН36 16-266	MT. GILEAD LAKE (LOWER)	96	100.0	0.0	0.0	12T 03T 11T 21T		

Table 2 - Ohio Threatened and Non-Attaining Lakes, Ponds, and Reservoirs

		Year	% of	Area Aff	ected:	1
Waterbody Se	egment # and Name	Assessed	Threat	Partial	No Support	Causes of Impairment
OH36 35-268	AMANN RESERVOIR	96	100.0	0.0	0.0	09T 02T 11T 25T
OH37 9-133	MILLER ANTRIM QUARRY	94	100.0	0.0	0.0	12T
OH37 15-107	DELCO WATER COMPANY LAKE	96	100.0	0.0	0.0	02T 05T 09T 11T 14T 23T
OH37 19-132	J. GRIGGS RESERVOIR	92	0.0	100.0	0.0	12H 09H
OH37 25-101	O'SHAUGHNESSY RESERVOIR	96	0.0	100.0	0.0	09H 11H 25H
OH40 2-300	STAGE'S POND	96	0.0	100.0	0.0	09Н 23Н 12Н
OH41 15-298	DEER CREEK LAKE	92	100.0	0.0	0.0	09T 25T 06T 12T 11T
OH41 30-228	MADISON LAKE	94	100.0	0.0	0.0	09H 11H
OH41 39-299	HARGUS LAKE	92	100.0	0.0	0.0	06T 05T 08T 07T 09T 11T 15T 12T
OH42 1-186	PAINT CREEK LAKE	94	0.0	100.0	0.0	09Н
OH43 44-185	ROCKY FORK LAKE	90	100.0	0.0	0.0	09H 11H
OH43 49-187	HILLSBORO RESERVOIR	90	100.0	0.0	0.0	11T 12T 17T
OH44 16-416	JISCO LAKE	92	100.0	0.0	0.0	12T
OH45 34-302	LAKE WHITE RESERVOIR	94	0.0	0.0	100.0	12H 11H
OH47 18- 43	WAYNOKA RETENTION DAM	94	0.0	100.0	0.0	09Н 11Н 06Н
OH47115-339	TURKEY CREEK LAKE	94	100.0	0.0	0.0	12H 22H
OH47127-340	ROOSEVELT LAKE	94	100.0	0.0	0.0	11T 19T 14T
OH49 69- 44	WAYNOKA RESERVOIR	94	100.0	0.0	0.0	14T 20T 22T 16T 05T 08T
OH50 1-394	SPRING VALLEY LAKE	90	0.0	100.0	0.0	12H
OH50 15-155	CEDARVILLE RESERVOIR	96	100.0	0.0	0.0	02T 05T 08T 09T 20T 21T
OH52 13- 69	WILMINGTON RESERVOIR	96	100.0	0.0	0.0	09T 11T 12T 15T 18T 19T 20T 21T
ОН53 8- 59	STONELICK RESERVOIR	90	0.0	100.0	0.0	12H
OH53 20- 58	EAST FORK LAKE	96	100.0	0.0	0.0	02T 08T 12T 04T 03T 05T 09T 11T
OH55 40-214	INDIAN LAKE	94	100.0	0.0	0.0	11H 22H 25H 21H
OH56 12-252	ECHO LAKE	96	0.0	100.0	0.0	12H
OH56 19-251	SWIFT RUN LAKE	90	100.0	0.0	0.0	11H 12H
OH56 32-345	LAKE LORAMIE	90	100.0	0.0	0.0	09H 12H
OH56 40- 52	KISER LAKE	90	100.0	0.0	0.0	11H
						•

Table 2 - Ohio Threatened and Non-Attaining Lakes, Ponds, and Reservoirs

	Year	% of	% of Area Affected:		-
Waterbody Segment # and Name	Assessed	Threat	Partial	No Support	Causes of Impairment
OH82 2-342 BEAVER CREEK RESERVOIR	92	100.0	0.0	0.0	02T 09T 12T
OH83 11-334 RACCOON CREEK RESERVOIR	96	0.0	0.0	100.0	05H
OH84 12-201 BELLEVUE RESERVOIR #5	96	100.0	0.0	0.0	
OH86 5-221 GRAFTON WATER SUPPLY LAKE	94	142.8	0.0	0.0	12T 09T 25T
OH86 16-217 FINDLEY LAKE	90	100.0	0.0	0.0	10H
OH86 16-218 OBERLIN RESERVOIR	90	100.0	0.0	0.0	11T 12T
OH87 4-88 BALDWIN LAKE	90	0.0	100.0	0.0	12H 11H
OH87 4-246 HINCKLEY LAKE	90	0.0	100.0	0.0	11H
OH87 5- 90 COE LAKE	94	100.0	0.0	0.0	12H 11H 14H
OH88 11-308 LAKE ROCKWELL	90	0.0	100.0	0.0	09H 11H 12H 20H
OH88 13-153 PUNDERSON LAKE	90	100.0	0.0	0.0	9T 11T 12T 13T 14T
OH88 16-151 LAKE AQUILLA	90	0.0	0.0	100.0	11H
OH88 18-152 EAST BRANCH RESERVOIR	90	100.0	0.0	0.0	12H
OH90 10-305 SUNNY LAKE (HARMON'S POND)	96	0.0	100.0	0.0	11H 25H 26H
OH91 1- 20 ROAMING ROCK LAKE	96	100.0	0.0	0.0	11T 09T 12T 25T 02T 05T
OH91 29-375 GRAND RIVER WILDLIFE AREA LAKE	96	98.2	0.0	0.0	
OH92 23- 19 LAMPSON RESV. (JEFFERSON RESV.)	96	0.0	100.0	0.0	09Н

Table 2 - Ohio Threatened and Non-Attaining Lakes, Ponds, and Reservoirs

	Year	% of	Area Aff	ected:	·
Waterbody Segment # and Name	Assessed	Threat	Partial	No Support	Causes of Impairment
OH58 1-257 EASTWOOD LAKE	90	100.0	0.0	0.0	09Н
OH58 1-258 CITY OF DAYTON LAKE #1	96	0.0	100.0	0.0	09Н
OH58 5-154 MIAMI CONS. DIST. LAKE (HUFFMAN POND)	96	0.0	100.0	0.0	11H
OH58 18- 55 C. J. BROWN LAKE	96	100.0	0.0	0.0	12T
OH60 34-259 OPOSSUM CREEK LAKE #1	96	100.0	0.0	0.0	09T 11T
OH61 14-321 RUSH RUN LAKE	90	100.0	0.0	0.0	12H
OH61 23- 48 ACTON LAKE	90	0.0	100.0	0.0	02H 09H 11H 12H
OH61 23- 48 ACTON LAKE	96	100.0	0.0	0.0	
OH62 26-166 WINTON WOODS LAKE (W.FK.MILL CK.)	90	0.0	100.0	0.0	12H
OH63 14- 28 GRAND LAKE ST. MARYS	94	100.0	0.0	0.0	09H 25H 11H 16H
OH63 19- 97 WABASH CONS. DIST. RESV. #1	90	0.0	100.0	0.0	2H 9H 11H
OH65 36-402 NETTLE LAKE	94	0.0	100.0	0.0	12H
OH65 38-399 LAKE LA SU AN	92	100.0	0.0	0.0	06T 25T 09T 02T 12T
OH68 17- 3 METZGER RESERVOIR	92	100.0	0.0	0.0	06T 09T 12T
OH70 13- 4 BRESLER RESERVOIR	92	100.0	0.0	0.0	09T 25T 02T 12T
OH71 19-417 DEFIANCE POWER DAM RESERVOIR	92	100.0	0.0	0.0	25H 11H 09H
OH72 29-141 HARRISON LAKE	92	0.0	0.0	100.0	11H
OH73 2-145 DELTA RESERVOIR #2	94	100.0	0.0	0.0	27T 12T
OH73 11-137 WAUSEON RESERVOIR #2	94	100.0	0.0	0.0	05H
OH75 9-226 EVERGREEN LAKE	96	100.0	0.0	0.0	12T 13T 23T
OH75 18-439 METAMORE RESERVOIR #1	94	100.0	0.0	0.0	05T 09T 16T
OH77 4-169 VAN BUREN LAKE	96	0.0	100.0	0.0	12H
OH77 10-423 BOWLING GREEN UPGROUND RESV.	96	100.0	0.0	0.0	
OH78 4-336 ALDRICH POND	96	0.0	100.0	0.0	22H
OH78 4-403 NORTH BALTIMORE RESERVOIR	96	100.0	0.0	0.0	05T 12T 25T
OH80 17- 83 BUCYRUS RESERVOIR #1	96	100.0	0.0	0.0	11H
OH80 17- 86 BUCYRUS RESERVOIR #2	96	0.0	100.0	0.0	
OH80 17- 87 BUCYRUS RESERVOIR #4	96	100.0	0.0	0.0	08T 09T 12T 22T 05T